DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 137 377

TM 006 182

AUTHOR

Lukshus, Anne M.

TITLE

School Personnel and Parent Perceptions of the Follow Through Program in Philadelphia. Spring, 1976. Report

No. 7723.

INSTITUTION

Philadelphia School District, Pa. Office of Research

and Evaluation.

SPONS AGENCY

Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.

PUB DATE GRANT

Oct 76 G007502177

NOTE

103p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$6.01 Plus Postage.

*Compensatory Education Programs; Curriculum

Evaluation; *Early Childhood Education; Elementary

School Teachers; Models; *Parent Attitudes;

Principals: *Program Attitudes: Program

Effectiveness; Questionnaires; *School Personnel;

Student Development; *Surveys; Teacher Aides; Teacher

Attitudes.

IDENTIFIERS ...

Pennsylvania (Philadelphia); Philadelphia

Pennsylvania School District: *Project Follow

Through

ABSTRACT

Follow Through teachers, classroom aides, principals, staff developers, and a 10 percent parent sample were surveyed in the spring of 1976 for their opinions and perceptions of various aspects of the Philadelphia Follow Through program. A substantial majority in each category of respondents indicated their respective mode's were helping pupils' personal, social, and academic development. Parent responses showed a high level of interest and participation. Nearly all stated they were developing a greater interest in their children's schooling, while 80 percent also expressed a concern for furthering their own education. Over 90 percent indicated that they felt comfortable with school personnel and that their opinions were respected. The majority reported they attended school meetings, and 73 percent expressed interest in working at the schools. Parent scholars were rated effective by 50 percent of the teachers, 58 percent of the staff developers, and 69 percent of the principals. Parent volunteers were rated less favorable by teachers, staff developers, and principals. Nearly all aides indicated they assisted in instructing small groups regularly, while 67 percent stated they assisted in the instruction of individual pupils on a regular basis. At least 80 percent of the teachers, principals, and staff developers thought the aides were effective in the classroom. Indications of the program's impact were found in the large percentages of school personnel who reported increased interest in individualized. instruction, reaching pupils homes, and furthering their own education. Respondents also gave the program an overwhelming vote of confidence in response to the question, "Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?" All of the staff developers, 83 percent of the teachers, 98 percent of the classroom aides, and 96 percent of the parents answered affirmatively. (Author/RC)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from nal.



SCHOOL PERSONNEL AND PARENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE FOLLOW THROUGH PROGRAM IN PHILADELPHIA

SPRING, 1976

Prepared by Anne M. Lukshus

Report # 7723 October, 1976

Grant Number G007502177

Leontine D. Scott
Director
Follow Through Programs

S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS REEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE RERSON OF OPCANIZATION CHISIN-

ATING IT POINTS OF THE REPORTIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARY METHER SENTON HOLD NATIONAL MYST SIZE OF EDUCATION POSTION OR POLICY

> Thomas C. McNamara Manager Early Childhood Evaluation

Constance E. Clayton Executive Director Early Childhood Programs

Irvin J. Farber Assistant Director Priority Operations Evaluation Services

Michael H. Kean Executive Director

* * * * * * * * * * *

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND EVALUATION THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA

TM006

DISCLAIMER

The activity which is the subject of this report regarding the original, national Follow Through Program was supported in whole or in part by the U. S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. However, the opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the U. S. Office of Education, and no official endorsement by the U. S. Office of Education should be inferred.

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION

Mr. Arthur W. Thomas, President

Mrs. Edward Oberholtzer, Vice President

Mr. Augustus Baxter

Mrs. Lawrence Boonin

Mr. George Hutt

Robert M. Sebastian, Esq.

Mrs. Michael Stack

George Philip Stahl, Jr., Esq.

Dr. Michael P. Marcase, Superintendent of Schools, Secretary and Treasurer Dr. Robert L. Poindexter, Executive Deputy Superintendent

Dr. I. Ezra Staples Deputy Superintendent for Instructional Services Mr. Thomas C. Rosica Executive Director Federal Programs

The School District of Philadelphia Follow Through Schools and Principals

1975-1976

Schools	Principals
Arthur School 20th & Catharine Streets	Mr. Murray Ginsburg
Philadelphia, Penna. 19146	
Duckrey School 15th and Diamond Streets	Mr. Sylvester L. Webb
Philadelphia, Penna. 19121	
Dunbar School	Mr. Eugene J. Strolle
12th Street N. of Columbia Avenue Philadelphia, Penna. 19122	
Drew School	Mrs. Franzella Buchanan
38th Street S. of Powelton Avenue Philadelphia, Penna. 19133	
	Mr. Albert V. Norrell
Elverson School 13th and Susquehanna Avenue Philadelphia, Penna. 19133	
Ferguson School	Mrs. Sadie S. Mitchell
Seventh and Norris Streets Philadelphia, Penna. 19122	
Fulton School	Mr. Jesse J. DiTaodoro
Haines Street E. of Germantown Avenue Philadelphia, Penna. 19144	
Harrison School	Mr. William C. Williams
11th and Thompson Streets Philadelphia, Penna. 1912?	
J. B. Kelly School Pulaski Avenue and Hansberry Street	Mr. William C. Seiberlich
Philadelphia, Penna. 19144	
Ludlow School	Mr. Charles M. Day
Sixth and Master Streets Philadelphia, Penna, 19122	
McMichael School	Mr. John A. Watson

36th Street and Fairmount Avenue Philadelphia, Penua. 19104

Nebinger School Sixth and Carpenter Streets Philadelphia, Penna. 19147

Pratt-Arnold School 22nd and Susquehanna Avenue Philadelphia, Penna 19146

E. M. Stanton School 17th and Christian Streets Philadelphia, Penna. 19146

Kearny School Sixth and Fairmount Avenue Philadelphia, Penna. 19122

Waring School 18th and Green Streets Philadelphia, Penna. 19130

A. Wilson School 46th and Woodland Avenue Philadelphia, Penna. 19143

J. Wister School Wakefield and Bringhurst Streets Philadelphia, Penna. 19144 Miss Dahlia Johnson

Mr. Elliot G. Jacoby

Mr. Vernon L. Jones

Mr. James P. Kane

Mrs. Felicita Hanna

Mr. Stanford H. James

Mrs. Barbara A. Daly

FOLLOW THROUGH DISTRICT LIAISON ASSISTANTS

District 1 James Gaskins

District 2 Gerri Walker

District 3 Floyda Marcus

District 4 Shirley McGill

District 5 Flossie Allen

District 6 Marie Tervalon

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Very great thanks are due:

The administrators of the Follow Through Program in the School District of Philadelphia for their recommendations regarding the final form of this report.

The principals, staff developers, teachers, classroom aides, and parents of the Follow Through Program for their cooperation during the 1975-76 school year.

Bonnie Sherk for her valuable assistance and fluency in Spanish which enabled us to interview many parents of Bilingual Model pupils in their native tongue.

Deborah Huder and Barbara Patton for their generous help in conducting the bulk of the parent interviews and coding the survey questionnaires.

Mildred Marcus for typing this report.



ABSTRACT

Follow Through teachers, classroom aides, principals, staff developers, and a 10% parent sample were surveyed in the spring of 1976 for their opinions and perceptions of various aspects of the program. The questionnaire response rates were 89% for principals, 78% for staff developers, 69% for K-3 teachers, and 65% for K-3 aides.

A substantial majority in each category of respondents indicated their respective models were helping pupils' personal, social, and academic development. According to teachers across models, the most effective academic areas were Oral Expression (77%), Reading (72%), Arithmetic (69%), and Creative Activities (64%). Positive responses from parents were highest for Speaking Skills (92%), Creative Activities (92%), Writing Skills (90%), Reading (87%), and Arithmetic (87%).

Parent responses showed a high level of interest and participation.

Nearly all (98%) stated they were developing a greater interest in their children's schooling, while 80% also expressed a concern for furthering their own education. Over 90% indicated that they felt comfortable with school personnel and that their opinions were respected. The majority (64%) reported they attended school meetings, and 73% expressed interest in working at the schools. Parent scholars were rated effective by 50% of the teachers, 58% of the staff developers, and 69% of the principals. Parent volunteers were rated less favorably by teachers (32%), staff developers (50%), and principals (63%).

Nearly all aides (90%) indicated they assisted in instructing small groups



regularly, while 67% stated they assisted in the instruction of individual pupils on a regular basis. At least 80% of the teachers, principals, and staff developers thought the aides were effective in the classroom.

Indications of the program's impact were found in the large percentages of school personnel who reported increased interest in individualized instruction, reaching pupils' homes, and furthering their own education. Respondents also gave the program an overwhelming vote of confidence in response to the question, "Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?" All of the staff developers, 83% of the teachers, 98% of the classroom aides, and 96% of the parents answered affirmatively.

Introduction

In the spring of 1976, survey questionnaires for all Follow Through teachers, classroom aides, staff developers, and principals were sent to the eighteen original schools in the program and distributed by the principal at each site. The response rate was 89% for principals, 78% for staff developers, 69% for teachers in kindergarten to third grade, and 65% for K-3 classroom aides. Responses from fourth grade teachers and aides were not included in the analysis because the minimal number returned (38% for teachers and 42% for aides) could not be considered representative of the total program. Table 1 presents a breakdown by model and grade level (K-3) of questionnaires returned by teachers, and Table 2 provides the same information for aides.

Copies of the four questionnaires used to survey the various levels of program personnel are found in the appendix. Responses from teachers and classroom aides were tabulated by model and total program. The results for each item in the survey show the number of responses (N) and the percent of total responses (%).

To obtain parent perceptions of the program, a telephone survey was conducted during the summer of 1976. The design called for a 10% sample (N=711), stratified by grade within model. Bilingual Model parents had the option of responding either in Spanish or English, because they were contacted by a bilingual interviewer. Copies of the questionnaire are included in the appendix, and results for each item in the survey are presented in terms of N and %. Responses from the 578 parents of pupils in kindergarten through third grade were tabulated by model and total program. Responses from fourth grade parents (N=133) were analyzed and reported separately. In the discussion which follows, all reporting of findings will refer to the K-3 group, unless otherwise noted.



TABLE 1
FOLLOW THROUGH TEACHER RESPONSES TO SPRING, 1976 SURVEY

			GRADE	LEVE	L
MODEL	K	1	2	3	Total
Bank Street	4	4	5	4	17
Behavior Analysis	10	5	5	6	26
Bilingual	6	6	6	7	25
EDC	8	9	9	6	32
Florida Parent	3	4	2	3	12
Parent Implemented	3	1	2	3	9
Philadelphia Process	5	4	5	4	18
Total # of Responses	39	33	34	33	139
Total # of Classes	49	51	51	50	201
% Returned	80	65	67	66	69

TABLE 2
FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDE RESPONSES TO SPRING, 1976 SURVEY

			GRADI	E LEV	EL
MODEL	ĸ	1	2	3	Total
Bank Street	3	4	1	4	12
Behavior Analysis	- 8	6	5	3.	24
Bilingual	6	7	6	3	22
EDC	7	9	< 8	- 8	32
Florida Parent	2	3	3	. 2	10
Parent Implemented	···2	1	1	2	6
Philadelphia Process	6	7	- 6_	6	25
Total # of Responses	34	37	30	30	131
Total # of Classes	49	51	51	50	201
% Returned	69	73	59	59	65

Background Characteristics

As shown in Table 3, 30% of all teachers (N=139) are under 30 years of age, 50% are between 30 and 50 years old, 14% are over 50, and 5% did not indicate age. Nearly all (96%) are female. The majority (60%) are at the B.A. level, 22% have attained an M.A., and 17% have gone beyond the Master's level. Only 7% have less than two years of teaching experience; 24% have between two and five years, another 24% have been teaching five to ten years, while 44% have more than ten years of total teaching experience. The models with the highest proportions in the "over 10 years" category were Behavior Analysis (58%), Philadelphia Process (56%), and Bank Street (53%).

The majority (57%) of staff developers (N=14) are between 30 and 50 years of age, 29% are more than 50 years old, and 14% did not respond. Most (93%) are female. Responses concerning education show 21% have not yet gone beyond a B.A. degree, 36% have earned an M.A., and 43% have gone beyond the Master's level. The vast majority (93%) have had more than ten years of teaching experience.

According to Table 4, 18% of all classroom aides (N=131) are under 30 years of age, 70% are between 30 and 50 years old, 9% are in the "over '503' category, and 3% did not indicate age. Most (97%) are female. Only 10% have not finished high school; 51% completed high school, and 39% have from one to four years of education beyond the high school level. Less than half (40%) indicated they had children of their own enrolled in the program.

Responses from principals (N=16) show that 13 are male and 3 female.

Most (12) fall in the "30-50" age category, and four are over 50 years old.

Nearly all (15) have at least ten years of previous teaching experience.

-3-

TABLE, 3

BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF FOLLOW THROUGH TEACHERS AND STAFF DEVELOPERS

(PERCENTAGES OF RESPONDENTS TO 1976 SURVEY)

Categories and Responses	Bank Street Model N=17	Behavior Analysis Model N=26	Bilingual Model N=25	EDC Model N=32	Florida Parent Model N=12	Parent Impl. Model N≈9	Phila. Process Model N=18	All Models N=139	Staff Developers N≈16
Age Below 30 30 - 50 Above 50 No Response	29 35 29 6	19 54 15 12	40 40 8 12	28 63 9 0	42 42 17 0	56 22 22 20 0	17 72 11 0	30 50 14 5	0 57 29 14
Sex Male Female No Response	6 94 0	0 100 0	4 92 4	0 100 0	17 83 0	11 89 0	0 100 0	4 96 1	7 93 0
Schooling BA MA MA+ No Response	59 35 6 0	73 19 8 0	48 32 20 0	53 16 28 3	58 25 17 0	67 11 22 0	72 17 11 0	60 22 17 1	21 36 43 0
Years Teaching Less than 2 2 - 5 5 - 10 More than 10 No Response	0 29 18 53 0	0 12 27 58 4	8 32 24 36 0	9 25 28 38 0	0 58 17 25 0	33 11 22 33 0	11 11 22 56 0	7 24 24 44 1	0 0 7 93 0

TABLE 4

BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDES
(PERCENTAGES OF RESPONDENTS TO 1976 SURVEY)

Categories and Responses	Bank Street Model N=12	Behavior Analysis Model N=24	Bilingual Model N=22	EDC Model N=32	Florida Parent Model N=10	Parent Implemented Model N=6	Phila. Process Model N=25	All Models N=131
Age Below 30 30 - 50 Above 50 No Response	25 67 8 0	0 88 4 8	59 32 5 5	9 78 9 3	0 80 20 0	17 83 0 0	12 72 16 0	18 70 9 3
Sex Male Female lio Response	0 100 0	0 100 0	9 86 5	0 97 3	0 100 0	0 100 0	0 100 0	97 2
Schooling 10th Grade 11th Grade 12th Grade 11.S. + 1 yr. 11.S. + 2 yrs. 12.S. + 3 yrs. 13.S. + 4 yrs.		0 8 67 0 13 4	5 0 50 18 18 9 0	0 3 50 22 9 13 3	20 0 60 10 0 0	0 0 33 0 67 0	4 8 48 16 20 4 0	3 7 51 14 15 8 2

Nine respondents indicated five or more years of experience as principal; only one served as principal less than two years, and six have held the position from two to five years.

Staff Development

Across models, responses regarding frequency of teacher staff development were distributed among the response categories as follows: "Once a week" 14%, "Every other week" 17%, "Once a month" 25%, "Other" 35%, and "No response" 9%. In the "Other" category, which was intended as an open-ended question, responses included a wide range of answers (none, occasionally, twice a year, rarely, once a year, daily, on a volunteer basis, when needed, discontinued due to lack of funds, etc.,) or, too frequently, none at all. This pattern was also found within most individual models. One noteworthy exception was the Parent Implemented Model where 67% of the respondents (six teachers) indicated that staff development took place once a week. Responses to this question seem to indicate there may have been confusion on the part of the teachers as to what constitutes staff development. It would seem that some respondents either did not consider as staff development all the services rendered by on-site staff developers, or they were not aware they were receiving services. The question was intended to include all in-service training received from the on-site staff developers. (This item will be reworded to insure uniform responses for any future use of this questionnaire.)



18

Due to the misgivings noted above regarding the clarity of the item concerning the <u>frequency</u> of staff development, it was judged best to eliminate from the discussion any consideration of teacher responses to the item which addresses the <u>effectiveness</u> of staff development. The actual item-response N's and %'s, by model and total program, are included in the appendix for this as for all other items, but attempting to interpret or draw conclusions from the data seems unwarranted and could very well result in misrepresentation.

This response ambiguity applies to teacher returns only. Data from other respondents are accordingly analyzed in the paragraphs that follow.

Staff developers indicated that they received staff development as follows: once a week for two respondents, every other week for one respondent, once a month for seven respondents, as needed for one, and not at all for another. The training was rated very effective by nine respondents, somewhat effective by four, and one staff developer gave no opinion.

Principals rated the effectiveness of the staff development program on a scale of 1 to 5. Thirteen of the sixteen who responded to the questionnaire rated staff development favorably, two gave a neutral response, and only one considered it ineffective.



Responses from all classroom aides in the program show that staff development took place once a week for 21%, every two weeks for 18%, once a month for 33%, while 11% checked the "Other" category. Another 18% did not respond to the question. All aides in the Parent Implementer Model received training once a week. A substantial number (42%) in the Behavior Analysis Model had it every two weeks, and the majority (56%) in the EDC Model indicated once a month. Staff development was rated very effective by 61% of all aides in the program, with model variations ranging from a low of 17% in the Bank Street Model to a high of 84% in the EDC Model.

Personal and Social Development of Pupils

Across models, at least 63% of the teachers indicated their models were effective in helping a child think for himself, relate to his peers, and view school as a positive experience (Questions 12 to 14). The EDC Model had the most favorable responses to these items (78%, 75%, and 78%, respectively), while the Florida Parent Model had the lowest rates (41%, 50%, and 50%). Principals rated their models more favorably for helping a child think for himself (82%) than for teaching a child to relate to his age group (57%) or viewing school as a positive experience (69%). Staff developers indicated their models were very effective (86% to 92%) in all three areas. In every model the ratings of classroom aides were significantly higher than those of teachers. Most (91%) of the EDC aides and all in the Parent Implemented Model felt their models were doing an effective job in helping the child to think for himself.

From 90 to 94% of the K-3 parents, compared to 86-89% of the fourth grade parents, indicated their children enjoy school and are learning to think for themselves and get along with their peers.



Assessment of Curriculum

In response to Question 11 (How closely related are Follow Through model ideals to your education and training experiences?), 50% of the total teaching staff, 65% of the staff developers, and 57% of the principals answered favorably ("1" and "2" responses on a 1-to-5 continuum). Teachers in the EDC Model indicated the highest degree of relationship (65%), while only a 33% rate was found in the Parent Implemented Model, which uses the Philadelphia Process curriculum.

The effectiveness of the Follow Through approach to academic areas was generally considered most favorably by the aides, followed in order by staff developers, teachers, and principals. The most effective areas, according to all teachers collectively, were Oral Expression, Reading, Arithmetic, and Creative Activities. Staff developers considered the approach to Oral Expression, Reading, Creative Activities, and Social Studies the most satisfactory. Aides collectively rated Reading, Arithmetic, Oral Expression, and Creative Activities most favorably. In the opinion of principals, the subjects most effectively taught were Oral Expression, Reading, Handwriting, Written Expression, and Creative Activities.

Over 80% of the Bank Street teachers rated their models effective in all academic areas except Science and Handwriting (64% and 59%, respectively). The Behavior Analysis Model was rated effective in Reading (73%), Arithmetic (69%), and Handwriting (69%), but not in Science (12%), Social Studies (19%), or Creative Activities (20%). Bilingual Model teachers rated Social Studies and Oral Expression (88% each) higher than Reading (56%), Arithmetic (44%), and Science (48%). Creative Activities was found to be the most effective (94%) area in the EDC Model, followed closely by Reading (85%) and Arithmetic (85%). Teachers in the Florida Parent Model indicated the approach to Oral



Expression was most effective (83%) and Social Studies (50%) and Science (40%) the least effective. Oral Expression (89%) and Reading (78%) were the most highly rated subjects in the Parent Implemented Model, while teachers in the Philadelphia Process Model considered Science (67%), Reading (66%), and Oral Expression (66%) the most effective areas.

The approach to Reading, in sum, was considered effective by twelve of fourteen staff developers, ten of sixteen principals, 88% of all aides, and 72% of the total teaching staff. The teacher rates were distributed across the models as follows: 83% in Bank Street, 73% in Behavior Analysis, 56% in Bilingual, 85% in EDC, 66% in Florida Parent, 78% in Parent Implemented, and 66% in Philadelphia Process. No direct attempt is being made at present to relate teacher perceptions to actual performance, though there is some general correspondence (except as regards EDC in particular) between the effectiveness ratings above and the various models' performance patterns over the years.

According to Table 5, when responses from kindergarten through third grade parents were combined, most parents approved the methods of teaching academic subjects. Across models, positive responses were highest for Speaking Skills (92%), Creative Activities (92%), Writing Skills (90%), Reading (87%), and Arithmetic (87%). The teaching of Science and Social Studies was rated less favorably (60% and 62%, respectively), possibly because parents of kindergarten and first grade pupils were not aware that their children were receiving instruction in these two areas. The positive responses for Science were 31%, 58%, 70%, and 77% for kindergarten, first grade, second grade, and third grade, respectively. For Social Studies the rates were 33%, 57%, 74% and 81%, respectively. Fourth grade data showed even more positive attitudes toward Science and Social Studies instruction (83% and 85%, respectively). In the other subject areas the results were similar to the pattern already described.

TABLE 5
PARENTS' ASSESSMENT OF THE TEACHING OF ACADEMIC SUBJECTS

(PERCENTAGES BASED ON NUMBER OF POSITIVE RESPONSES TO QUESTIONNAIRE)

			Mod	els			
Bank Street N=82	Behavior Analysis N=124	Bilingual N=97	EDC N=117	Fiorida Parent N=38	Parent Impl. N=27	Phila. Process N=93	Total Program N=578
84	88	87	84	89	93	90	87
84	86	89	86	89	85	91	87
66	61	67	45	53	74	62	60
63	64	81	44	45	78	62	62 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
94	87	90	84	92	100	96	90
89	91	92	90	92	96	96	92
95	95	93	83	97	89	92	92
	Street N=82 84 66 63	Street Analysis N=82 N=124 84 88 84 86 66 61 63 64 94 87	Street Analysis N=82 N=124 84 88 84 86 89 61 63 64 81 89 91 92	Bank Street Behavior Analysis Bilingual N=97 EDC N=117 84 88 87 84 84 86 89 86 66 61 67 45 63 64 81 44 94 87 90 84 89 91 92 90	Street Analysis Parent N=82 N=124 N=97 N=117 N=38 84 88 87 84 89 84 86 89 86 89 66 61 67 45 53 63 64 81 44 45 94 87 90 84 92 89 91 92 90 92	Bank Street Street N=82 Behavior Analysis N=124 Bilingual N=97 EDC Plorida Parent Impl. N=38 Parent Impl. N=27 84 88 87 84 89 93 84 86 89 86 89 85 66 61 67 45 53 74 63 64 81 44 45 78 94 87 90 84 92 100 89 91 92 90 92 96	Bank Street N=82 Behavior Analysis N=124 Bilingual N=97 EDC Parent Parent N=38 Parent Impl. N=93 Process N=93 84 88 87 84 89 93 90 84 86 89 86 89 85 91 66 61 67 45 53 74 62 63 64 81 44 45 78 62 94 87 90 84 92 100 96 89 91 92 90 92 96 96

Importance of Pre-School Experience

There was agreement among teachers, staff developers, and principals about the value of pre-school experience for pupils. Responses indicated 81% of the principals, 78% of the staff developers, and 85% of the teachers considered pre-school exposure important for success in all Follow Through models. Especially high rates were found in the Florida Parent (100%), Bilingual (92%), and Parent Implemented (89%) Models.

Classroom Aide Participation

Classroom aides have participated in the program for varying lengths of Only 11% of the respondents, however, indicated one year of service, and 72% have been associated with it for at least five years. One-fourth have worked in the program since its beginning in 1968.

Responses from all aides in the program show that, on a regular basis, only 5% assist in instructing the whole class, while 90% help with small groups, and 67% work with individual pupils. A similar pattern, with almost exactly the same percentages, was found in the Bilingual, EDC, and Philadelphia Process Models. More assisting of individual children was found in the Bank Street, Florida Parent, and Parent Implemented Models. In the Behavior Analysis Model, 92% of the aides reported they worked with small groups regularly, while 33% indicated they aided individual children on a regular basis. All aides in the Parent Implemented Model reported working with small groups and individuals on a regular basis.

Classroom aides were rated effective by 81% of the principals, 86% of the staff developers, and 89% of the teachers. The rate varied slightly within models: 83% in Bank Street, 96% in Behavior Analysis, 92% in Bilingual, 90% in EDC, 83% in Florida Parent, 78% in Parent Implemented, and 89% in Philadelphia Process.

Parent Involvement

Since one of the program's primary goals is extensive parent involvement, the parent survey provided a means for parents to give their perceptions of the success of this component. The data collected seem to clearly indicate that parent involvement is a reality. Questionnaire responses show that the level of parent interest and participation is high. In the program as a whole, as well as in individual models, more than 95% agreed they were developing a greater interest in their children's education. A greater concern for their own education was expressed by 80% of all parents, ranging from a high of 98% in the Philadelphia Process Model to a low of 58% in the Florida Parent Model. Approximately 90% indicated they felt comfortable with school personnel and their opinions were respected. The majority (64%) attended school meetings, and 73% expressed interest in working at the schools.

Teachers' perceptions of one aspect of parent involvement were not very positive, however. Their ratings of parent volunteers indicated that only 32% of all teachers in the program thought that parent volunteers were effective in the classroom. The rate ranged from a low of 18% in the Bank Street Model to a high of 44% in the Parent Implemented Model. Staff developers and principals reported a higher rate of effectiveness (50% and 63%, respectively).

Parent scholars were found to be effective by 69% of the principals, 58% of the staff developers, and 50% of the teachers in sites where parent scholars are hired. The latter rate was based on an analysis of teacher responses from the Behavior Analysis, Florida Parent, Parent Implemented, and Philadelphia Process Models (N=65) only. This questionnaire item was not applicable to the other three models where no parent scholarship program



26

was operating.

Program Impact

Working in the Follow Through Program clarified ideas of what education should do for the child for 74% of all teachers, 93% of the staff developers, 51% of the principals, and 94% of the aides. All staff developers, 85% of the teachers, and 50% of the principals reported an increase of interest in individualized instruction. Nearly all (98%) of the aides increased their interest in school. Exposure to the program was responsible for strengthening interest in reaching pupils' homes for 78% of the teachers, 86% of the staff developers, 89% of the aides, and 63% of the principals.

Another indicator of the program's impact was found in the large percentage (85%) of aides who stated they had become interested in furthering their own education as a result of their employment.

Parents, staff developers, teachers, and aides expressed an overwhelming vote of confidence in the program in response to the question, "Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?" All of the staff developers, 96% of the parents, 98% of the aides, and 83% of the teachers answered affirmatively. The teacher response rate by model was 88% in Bank Street, 88% in Behavior Analysis, 84% in Bilingual, 94% in EDC, 50% in Flor da Parent, 78% in Parent Implemented, and 78% in Philadelphia Process. In every model over 90% of the aides answered positively.

An open-ended question gave respondents an opportunity to specify their reasons for or against the continuation of the program, and most (86% of the teachers, 80% of the staff developers, and 88% of the aides) responded with at least one reason. Since many gave multiple reasons, the sum of percentages

for this questionnaire item (as shown in the appendix) will exceed one hundred.

All reasons elicited by the questionnaires were coded according to ten response categories as follows:

Reasons for Program Continuance/Discontinuance Code Benefits children; good program, model, method; 1 motivates children Good curriculum; individualized instruction; teaches 2 children to think for themselves; encourages responsibility Extra services and programs for children; more per-3 sonnel, materials, supplies in classroom; smaller class size Benefits parents, home, community Benefits teachers (Applies to Teachers' Questionnaire 5 only) Benefits aides; provides employment for aides (Applies to Aides' Questionnaire only) Help from model advisors; in-service training Needs revision in curriculum and implementation; could be better; program lacks creativity and independence from adults; better than nothing No benefit to anyone; hurting children; money 8 wasted; children missing out on essentials Stifles the teacher; precludes initiative of 9

10 No reasons submitted

teacher

Teachers endorsed the program for a variety of reasons. The majority (62%) felt the program should be continued because it benefited and motivated pupils and provided a good curriculum and/or individualized instruction. Some (25%) stated that the program had provided more personnel, materials, and supplies in the classroom. Others (14%) mentioned that the program had benefited parents and communities as much as the children it served.



A small minority (15% across models, but 50% of all Florida Parent Model teachers) did suggest that the program needed more effective implementation through revision in curriculum or management.

According to staff developers, the program provided a good curriculum with individualized instruction, taught children to think for themselves, fostered their responsibility, provided supportive services, increased personnel, materials and supplies in the classroom, and benefited the parents, the home, and the community as well as the children.

A substantial number of aides across models (50%) felt the program benefited and motivated children. Some (39%) expressed satisfaction with the curriculum. Others (24%) noted advantages to parents, home, and community. Some (15%) mentioned the availability of supportive services and more personnel and materials in the classroom. Others (13%) stated the program provided employment opportunities and rewarding experiences working with children. Only 5% of all aides suggested a need for better implementation or revisions of model curricula.

Comparison of Data from the 1972 and 1976 Surveys

Since some of this year's questionnaire items were identical to questions presented to parents and school personnel four years ago, comparisons of findings from both surveys were made in the areas of parent interest and participation, effectiveness of curriculum, and attitudes toward program continuance/ discontinuance.

It has already been reported that 98% of the parents indicated that they had developed a greater interest in their children's schooling and that 80% had taken a greater interest in their own education. The corresponding rates

in 1972 were high also, 96% and 78% respectively. There were substantially higher percentages in 1976 compared with 1972 in (1) attending school meetings: 64% in 1976 vs. 44% in 1972, (2) feeling comfortable speaking to school personnel: 93% vs. 83%, (3) wanting to work in the school: 73% vs. 54%, and (4) feeling their opinions were respected in the school: 92% vs. 76%.

Regarding program continuance/discontinuance, 96% of the parents answered positively this year, an increase of 4% over the 1972 rate. The percentage of favorable responses from aides (98%) and teachers (83%) showed no change since 1972.

According to the teaching staff four years ago, the most effective curriculum areas were Oral Expression (73%), Reading (67%), Arithmetic (61%), and Creative Activities (60%). A slight increase in the percentage of positive responses for the same subjects was evident in 1976: Oral Expression (77%), Reading (72%), Arithmetic (69%), and Creative Activities (64%).

Summary and Conclusions

All teachers, classroom aides, principals, staff developers, and 10% of the parents were surveyed for their opinions and perceptions of various aspects of the Follow Through Program. The response rates were 89% for principals, 78% for staff developers, 69% for teachers (K-3), and 65% for K-3 aides.

Most teachers, aides, staff developers, and principals felt their respective models were helping pupils' personal, social, and academic development. Over 90% of the parents indicated their children enjoy school and are learning to think for themselves and get along with their peers.

Most parents approved the particular approach to teaching academic

subjects. Positive responses were highest for Speaking Skills (92%), Creative Activities (92%), Writing Skills (90%), Reading (87%), and Arithmetic (87%). According to teachers across all models, the most effective subject areas were Oral Expression (77%), Reading (72%), Arithmetic (69%), and Creative Activities (64%).

At least 75% of the respondents in all categories considered pre-school experience important for success in the Follow Through Program.

Nearly all aides (90%) worked with small groups regularly, while 67% helped individual pupils on a regular basis. At least 80% of the teachers, principals, and staff developers thought the aides were doing an effective job in the classroom.

Responses from parents indicated a high level of parent interest and participation. Nearly all (98%) stated they were developing a greater interest in their children's schooling, while 80% also expressed a concern for furthering their own education. Over 90% indicated that they felt comfortable with school personnel and that their opinions were respected. The majority (64%) reported that they attended school meetings, and 73% expressed interest in working at the schools. Parent scholars were rated effective by 50% of the teachers, 58% of the staff developers, and 69% of the principals. Parent volunteers were rated less favorably by teachers (32%), staff developers (50%), and principals (63%).

Indications of the program's impact were found in the large percentages of school personnel who reported increased interest in individualized instruction, reaching pupils' homes, and furthering their own education.

Respondents also gave the program an overwhelming vote of confidence in response to the question, "Would you like to see the Follow Through Program



continued?" All of the staff developers, 83% of the teachers, 98% of the classroom aides, and 96% of the parents answered affirmatively. The principal reasons given for program continuation were 1) overall benefits to children,

- 2) the type of instructional model and/or individualized instruction,
- 3) supportive services and more personnel and materials in the classroom, and
- 4) benefits to parents, home, and community.

In conclusion, it seems evident that the program continues to enjoy, as evidenced by comparing 1976 and 1972 findings, the support and interest of the vast majority of school personnel and parents associated with it. This support is not, naturally, to be taken as a guarantee of program success; neither does it seem reasonable, however, to overlook it as an element to be considered in determining the overall degree of success of the program.



APFENDIX

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA Office of Research and Evaluation Follow Through Evaluation, April, 1976

FOLLOW THROUGH PRINCIPAL'S RATING FORM

1. Follow Through Model _______ Total Program N=16

			÷						
* 2.	Circle each participated	year	in which	you have	4.,How	many years you have?	of teachin	g exp	erience
	Program.	111 1	Me FOLLO	w 12 - B	}	s than 2	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 0	
			71	1971-72	Les 2-5		0	0	
	1968-69 196	9-70	1970-71	19/1-/2			1	6	
	1972-73 197	3-74	1974–75	1975-76	5-1 Mor	o e than 10	15	94	
		* - 2					N	<u>%</u>	
···3.	How many yes	rs-h	ave-you-b	een a	5. Sex	Continue Color of the Color State State of	13	81	lk d medişkek çel eş iye e şik i
	principal?		<u> N</u>	<u>z</u>	Į.	Male _ •	3	19	4 1
	Less than 2		1	6		Female	. J		
	2-5		6	38	6. Age		Й	<u>%</u>	
	5-10		5	31	1	Under 30	0	0.	
	More than 10		4	25		30-50	12	75	
				:		Over 50	4	25	
*	Years	N	<u>%</u>						
	1	3	19			i .		-	
		3	19						
	3	0	0						
		•	0						
	4	0	6						
	5	1	-						
	6	2	13						
	7	3	19						
	8	4	25		34		a de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	1	

Please answer the following questions on a scale from 1-5, where 1 indicates a positive response and 5 a negative one (CIRCLE ANSWERS).

	a podluživ	CLOSELY RELATED						RELATED							
		-1				3		. 4				RESPONSI		Ε	
		<u>N</u>	<u>z</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	<u> </u>	\overline{N}	<u>%</u>	\overline{N}	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>		
7.	How closely related is your Follow Through Model to your teacher training background?	0	0	3	19	5	31	3	19	5	31	0	0		
8.	How closely related is your role in the Follow Through Model to your administrative experience?	6	38	. 7	44	2	13	1	6	0	0	0	0	•	
9.	How closely related are the Model ideals to your education and training experiences.	2	13	7	44	4	25	1	6	1	6	1	6		

How effective is your Follow Through Model	VERY NOT AT ALL
for helping a child:	1 . 2 · 3 · 4 · 5 <u>N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z N</u> Z
10. Think for himself	2 13 11 69 2 13 1 6 0 0
11. Learn to relate to his age group	3 19 6 38 7 44 0 0 0 0
12. View school as a positive experience	

13. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in your Follow Through Model?

VERY IMPORTANT		NOT A	T ALL
1 2	3	4	5
<u>n z n z</u>	<u>n</u> z	<u>N</u> Z	<u>N</u> Z
8 50 5 31	2 13	0 0	1 6

14. In your opinion, how effective is Staff Development in the Program?

VERY EFFECT	TVE		1104	AT A	LL E
1 N %	2 <u>N</u> %	3 <u>N</u> <u>Z</u>	4 N %	N	5 <u>%</u>
7 44	6 38	2 13	1 6	0	0



Again on a scare of 1-3, now well does the rollow inrough model provide an effective approach to the following academic areas? (CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY.)

		Ū		-	٠				VE	RY 1	:	2	· ~,	,3		NOT- 4	AT A	<u>LL</u> 5	NO RE	SPONS
									<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	<u>Z</u>	N	%	<u>N</u>	<u>z</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>
15.	Reading	•	 •. •	•		•	•	•	7	44	3	19	5	31	1	6	0	0	0	0
16,	Arithmetic		 	•	•				5	31	3	19	- 5	31	3	19	0	0	0	0
17.	Social Studies			•	•	•	•	•	· 7	44	0	0	5	31	3	19	0	0	1	6
18.	Science	٠	 	•	•	•	٠		3	19	5	31	.6	38	2	13	0	0	0	0
19.	Handwriting Skills	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	6	38	4	25	4	25	1	6	1	6	0 -	0
20.	Written Expression	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	5	31	5	31	6	38	0	0	0	0	0	0
21.	Oral Expression	•		•	•	•	•	•	9	56	2	13	3	19	1	6	1	6	0	0
22.	Creative Activities	3.	 •	•	•	•	•	•	5	31	5	31	5	31	1	6	0	0	0	0

		VE	RY		······································				NOT	AT A	LL	*
How	important has the Follow Through Model	-1		2			3		4		5	
been	in:	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u> .	N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Z</u>	
23.	clarifying your ideas of what education should do for the child	2	13	6	38	5	31	1	6	2	13	
24.	increasing your interest in individualized instruction	5	31	3	19	. 4	25	1	6	3	19	
25.	increasing your interest in reaching the home	6	38	4	25	3	19	0	0	3	19	
26.	increasing your interest in teacher development		31	6	38	3	19	0	0	2	13	

Please indicate on the chart below the kinds of classroom help available to Follow Through classes in your Model, and how effective you think they are.

	Type of Classroom Help:	Circle if used in Classroom			RY 1	2		3	NO RESPONSE		
27. full time	full time aide	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>z</u>	<u> </u>	<u>N</u>	, <u>2</u> N	<u>z</u> <u>n</u>	<u>z</u>	<u>N</u> <u>Z</u>
	Idii time alde	15	94	12	75 :	L 6	3	19 0	0 0	0	0 0
28.	parent volunteers	14	87	7	44	3 19	4	25 1	6 0	0	1 6
29.	parent scholars	15	93	8	50	3 19	3	19 1	6.0	0	1 6
							÷				
											- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.



THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA Office of Research and Evaluation Follow Through Evaluation, April, 1976

FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF DEVELOPER AND TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

1.	School
2.	Follow Through Model Total Program
	·
3.	<u>N</u> <u>%</u>
	Staff Developer 14 100
	Teacher
=	
4.	Circle each year in which you have participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72
4.	participated in the Follow Through Program.
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have?
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? N %
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? Less than 2 0 0
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? Less than 2 2-5 0 0
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? Less than 2
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? Less than 2 2-5 0 0
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? Less than 2
5.	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? Less than 2
5.	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? Less than 2

6.	What	is the	highest	leyel of	school	
		complete		Й	2	
	-	ВА		3	21	
	-	MĄ		5	36	
		MA+		. 6	43	
7.	Sex:			<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	
		Male		1	7	
		Female		13	93	

8. Age:	N	<u>x</u>
Under 30	0	0
30-50	. 8	57
Over 50		29
No Response	2	14

9. How	often do you receive	Staff N	Development?
	Once a week	Ž	14
	Every other week	· · 1	7
	Once a month	7	50
	Other	3	21
	No Response	1	7
	•		



10. In your opinion how effective is the Staff Development Program?

Very effective	<u>N</u>	% 64
Somewhat effective	4	29
Not at all effective	0	0
No Response	1_	7

11. How closely related are Follow Through model ideals to your education and training experiences?

1 2 3 4 5

	How effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	VE	RY E	FFEC	TIVE 2	3	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE 4 5				
-		N	- %	N	- <u>7</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>Z</u>	N	<u>%</u>
12.	Think for himself	7	50	5	36	1	7	0	O	1	7
13.	Learn to relate to his age group	7	50	- 5	36	2	14	0	0	0	0
14.	View school as a positive experience	10	71	3	21	1	7	. 0	0	0	0

	How well does the Fo	llow	Throu	gh ,	VERY WELL							POORLY						
	Model provide an eff	ectiv	e app	roacn		1		2		3		4		5 .	No R	espons		
	to the following aca	demic	area	<u>5</u> !	N 8	- %	N 4	- 2 <u>%</u>	N	% 7	N	<u>%</u>	<u>о</u> Й	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>		
15.	Reading	•	•		8	5 7	4	29	1	7	0	0	0	0	T	/ · ·		
16.	Arithmetic				6	43	. 4	29	2	14	0	. 0	0	0	2	14		
17.	Social Studies	•	•		7	50	4	29	1	7	1	7	0	0	1	7		
18.	Science		•		4	29	5	36	2	14	1	7	0	0	2	14		
19.	Handwriting Skills				4	29	3	21	5	36	1	7	0	0	1	7		
20.	Written Expression		•	•	6	43	3	21	3	21	0	0	0	0	2	14		
	Oral Expression	• .			11	79	1	7	2	14	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Creative Activities		•		10	71	2	14	1	7	0	0	0	0	1	7		

Please indicate the effectiveness of the various types of classroom help available to you.

Type of Classroom Help

VEF	Y EF	FEC	TIVE			EFFECTIVE							
	1		2	•	3		4		5 2	No Re	espor	ıse	
N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	7.	ŧ	
12	86	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	o	ō	2	14		
2	14	5	36	2	14	1	7 -	1	7	3	21		
ı	20	١.	20	2	17.	Λ	Λ	7	7	. 3	21		

25. Parent Scholars

24. Parent volunteers

23. Full time aide

	Has working in the Follow Through Model:		Υe					Respo	
26,	Clarified your ideas of what education should do f	or the child	1 13	<u>%</u> 93	<u>0</u>	$\frac{Z}{0}$	<u>N</u>	7	
27,	. Increased your interest in individualized instruct	ion	14	100	0	0	0	0	
20	Increased your interest in reaching the home		12	9.6	2	1 <i>l</i> .		Δ.	•

29. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in the Follow Through Model?

IMP.	ERY ORTA	ŊΤ			NOT	ORTA	ALL	•	
1			2		3	-	4		5
N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	\overline{N}	<u>%</u>	N	<u>Z</u>	N	<u>7.</u>
10	71	1	7	2	14	1	7	0	0

30. Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?

Yes	$\frac{N}{1}$	<u>%</u> 100	
No	0	0	
Don't Know	0	0	

31. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 30.

•	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
N	6	7	5	5	1	1	0	0	0	2	
z	43	50	36	36	- 7	7	0	0	0	14	

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE

FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF DEVELOPER AND TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

1. School			
2. Follow Th	rough Mo	del Tota	al Program
			K-3
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		
3.			
•			<u>N</u> <u>%</u>
Staff	Develope	r	
Teache	er	1	139 100
<u>Grade</u> N	<u>%</u>	Grade	<u>N</u> <u>%</u>
К 39	28	2	34 24
1 33	24	3	33 24
1572-73	4000 07		
	19/3-/4	1974-75	1975-76
	years of	teaching	
5. How many experience	years of	teaching	
	years of e do you	teaching	g
experienc	years of e do you	teaching	<u>N %</u>
experience Less th	years of e do you	teaching	N %
experience Less the 2-5	years of e do you nan 2	teaching	N <u>%</u> 10 7 34 24
experience Less th 2-5 5-10 More th	years of e do you nan 2	teaching	N ½ 10 7 34 24 33 24
experience Less th 2-5 5-10 More th	years of e do you nan 2 nan 10 nonse	teaching	N % 10 7 34 24 33 24 61 44 1 1
experience Less the 2-5 solution More the No Response Years 1 1	years of e do you nan 2 nan 10 nonse <u>N</u> <u>%</u>	teaching have?	N % 10 7 34 24 33 24 61 44 1 1 N % 17 12
Less th 2-5 5-10 More th No Resp	years of e do you nan 2 nan 10 nonse N	teaching have?	N % 10 7 34 24 33 24 61 44 1 1

N.A.

you completed?		schoo	
	N	<u>%</u>	
ВА	84	60	
, MA	31	22	
MA+	23.	17	
No Response	1	1	
7. Sex:	N	100 m	
Male	5		
Female	133	96	
No Response	1	1	
		*	
3. Age:	N	%	
Under 30	42	30	7.4
30-50	70	50	
Over 50	20	14	
No Response	7	5	
		· · · · ·	
9. How often do you receiv	e Stafi	Devel	lopment
Once a week	N 20	% 14	
once a week	20	7.4	v v v
Every other week	23	17	
Once a month	35	25	
Other	48	35	•
No Response	13	9	
	-	100	19.00

Very effective	$6\frac{N}{2}$ $4\frac{\%}{5}$	
Somewhat effective	50 36	
Not at all effective	15 11	
No Response	12 9	

11. How closely related are Follow Through model ideals to your education and training experiences?

1 2 3 4 5 No Response N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z 28 20 42 30 35 25 11 8 12 9 11 8

How effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	1	2	3	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	5 No Response
12. Think for himself	$\frac{N}{48} \frac{\%}{35}$	$\frac{N}{39} \frac{7}{28}$	$\frac{N}{37}$ $\frac{\%}{27}$	$\frac{N}{8} \frac{\%}{6} \frac{N}{7}$	$\frac{\%}{5} \frac{N}{0} \frac{\%}{0}$
	56 40	39 28	34 24	5 4 4	3 1 1
14. View school as a positive experience	56 40	40 29	31 22	8 6 3	2 1 1

	How well does the Fo	11ow T	hroug	<u>h</u>	VER	Y WI	ELL_					-:	P009	RLY	
	Model provide an eff to the following aca	demic	areas	?	1 N		N	2 %		3 %	N	4 %	<u>N</u>		Response
15.	Reading	•	• • • •	•	63	45	38	27	27	19	3	2	6	4	2 1
16.	Arithmetic		•		59	42	37	27	26	19	11	8	5	4	1 1
17.	Social Studies		•	•	49	35	31	22	38	27	11	8	7	5	3 2
18.	Science	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	e Telephone serve en	41_	29	31	22	40	29	14	10	10	7	3 2
19.	Handwriting Skills	• .	•	•	39	28	43	31	40	29	11	8	4	3	2 1
20.	Written Expression	•	•	•										5.5	7 5
21.	Oral Expression	• 4	•		65	47	42	30	15	11	10	7	7	5	C O
22.	Creative Activities	•	•	•	57	41	32	23	28	20	12	9	10	7	0 0



Please indicate the effectiveness of the various types of classroom help

available to you. EFFECTIVE VERY EFFECTIVE No Response 3 Type of Classroom Help % % N 23. Full time aide 107 17 12 9 6 0 28 20 24. Parent volunteers 16 12 29 21 20 17 12 21 15 0 5 0 3 32 12 25. Parent Scholars (If 21 32 12 19 21 N=65Applicable)

Has working in the Follow Through Model:

26. Clarified your ideas of what education should do for the child 103.74 28.20 8 627. Increased your interest in individual ized instruction

118.85 15.11 6 4

28. Increased your interest in reaching the home

109.78 26.19 4 3

29. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in the Follow Through Model?

30. Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?

Yes 116 83 No 7 5 Don't Know 14 10 No Response 2 1

31. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 30.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
N	42	45	35	10	10	3	21	5	0	20	
%	30	32	25	7	7	2	15	4	0	14	

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF DEVELOPER AND TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

•	Follow Through Model Bank Street K-3	_
	K-3	_
		_
3	<u>N</u> <u>X</u>	
."	Staff Developer	
	Teacher 17 100	
		-
. !	Grade N % Grade N %	
1	4 24 2 5 29	
	1 4 24 3 4 24	
•	Circle each year in which you have participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72	
	participated in the Follow Through Program.	
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching	
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72	- P10
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? N 2	- A
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? N 2 Less than 2 0 0	- A
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? Less than 2 0 0 2-5 5 29	- A
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1572-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? N % Less than 2 0 0 2-5 5 29 5-10 3 18	- ×-
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? Less than 2 0 0 2-5 5 29 5-10 3 18	
	participated in the Follow Through Program. 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1572-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76 How many years of teaching experience do you have? N % Less than 2 0 0 2-5 5 29 5-10 3 18	

	N . 7	***	
6. What is the highest le	yel of	school	
you completed?	N	<u>%</u>	
BA	10	59	
MĄ	6	35	
MA+	1.	6	
7 Com	Ter .	### ##################################	
7. Sex:	Ā	<u>%</u>	
Male	1,	, 6	
Female	16	94	is for the grade The second second
	<u> </u>		
8. Age:	N	<u>z</u>	
Under 30	5	29	
30-50	6	35 •	
Over 50	5	29	
No Response	1	6	
9. How often do you receiv			opment?
Once a week	<u>N</u> 2	$\frac{Z}{12}$	
Every other week	1	6	
Once a month	6	35	
Other	5	29	
No Response	3	18	
πο πευροπου			

Very effective	1.	•	7		41	
Somewhat effective		·	6	· ·	35	
Not at all effective		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		6	- -
No Response			3		18	111

11. How closely related are Follow Through model ideals to your education and training experiences?

1 2 3 4 5 No Response N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z N Z 4 24 4 24 2 12 2 12 1 6 4 24

	How effective is your Follow Through	i	·						TA		
=	Model for helping a child	· VE	$\frac{RY}{1}$	FFEC'	TIVE 2	-		EFI	ECTI	VE	5
		N	7	N	- %	N	<u>%</u>	N	%	N	<u> %</u>
12.	Think for himself	6	35	5	29	6	35	0	0	0	0
13.	. Learn to relate to his age group	8	47	5	29	4	24	0	0	0	0
14.	. View school as a positive experience	. 7	41	7	41	3	18	0	0	0	0

							Sec.					1	٠.		
	How well does the I				WEI	RY WE	7T.T.						POOF	₹7.Y	
	Model provide an el				A 121	/T 111				1					
* :	to the following ac	ademic	areas	?]	Ļ···		2	3	}	_	4		5	
				-	N	%	N	2	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	<u>%</u>	N	<u>z</u>	N	<u>z</u>	
15.	Reading		. •	•	10	59	4	24	3	18	0	0	0	0	
16.	Arithmetic	•	•	•	13	76	3 -	18	1	6	0	0	0	0	
17.	Social Studies	•	•		. 8	47	8	47	1.	6	0	0	0	0	
18.	Science	**************************************	to the same of a second		6	35	5	29	4	24	2	12	0	0 -	
19.	Handwriting Skills	•	•	. •	3	18	7	41	6	35	1	6	0	0	
20.	Written Expression		•	•	9	53	6	35	2	12	0	0	0	0	
21.	Oral Expression	•	•	•	10	59	6	35	1	6	0	0	0	0	
22.	Creative Activities	•	•		9	53	5	29	3	18	0	0	0	0	

Please indicate the effectiveness of the various types of classroom help available to you.

NOT AT ALL

VERY EFFECTIVE EFFECTIVE No Response Type of Classroom Help % 23. Full time aide 12 71 12 1 6 1 6 0 0 1 6 24. Parent volunteers 0 0 3 18 3 2 18 24 5 29 12

25, Parent Scholars (Not Applicable)

Has working in the Follow Through Model: Yes No No Response 26, Clarified your ideas of what education should do for the child $\frac{N}{13}$ $\frac{N}{76}$ $\frac{N}{3}$ $\frac{N}{18}$ $\frac{N}{1}$ $\frac{N}{6}$ 27. Increased your interest in individualized instruction 16 94 0 0 1 6 28, Increased your interest in reaching the home 14 82 3 18 0 0

29. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in the Follow Through Model?

 VERY IMPORTANT
 NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5

 N
 X
 N
 X
 N
 X
 N
 X

 11
 65
 3
 18
 3
 18
 0
 0
 0
 0

30. Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?

Yes 15 88
No 1 6
Don't Know 1 6

31. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 30.

	e or	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	. 8	9	10	
	N	5	4	7	2	0	0	4	0	0	2	
· ·	%	29	24	41	12	0		-	0		12	

45

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF DEVELOPER AND TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

. Follow Through Model	
Behavior Ana	lysis K-3
	<u>N</u> <u>%</u>
Staff Developer	
Teacher	26 100
Grade N % Gr	ade <u>N %</u>
K 10 38 2	 5
1 5 19 3	6 23
. Circle each year in w participated in the E	which you have Follow Through
Dircle each year in wear in wear participated in the Forgram. 1968-69 1969-70 197	Follow Through 70-71 1971-72
participated in the Program. 1968-69 1969-70 197 1972-73 1973-74 197	70-71 1971-72 74-75 1975-76
participated in the Program. 1968-69 1969-70 197 1972-73 1973-74 197	70-71 1971-72 74-75 1975-76
participated in the Program. 1968-69 1969-70 197 1972-73 1973-74 197	70-71 1971-72 74-75 1975-76
participated in the Program. 1968-69 1969-70 197 1972-73 1973-74 197 How many years of teat experience do you have	70-71 1971-72 74-75 1975-76 aching
participated in the Program. 1968-69 1969-70 197 1972-73 1973-74 197 How many years of tea experience do you have	70-71 1971-72 74-75 1975-76 aching 7e? <u>N</u> <u>%</u>
participated in the Program. 1968-69 1969-70 197 1972-73 1973-74 197 6. How many years of teat experience do you have Less than 2 2-5	70-71 1971-72 74-75 1975-76 aching 7e? N % 0 0 3 12 7 27 15 58
participated in the Program. 1968-69 1969-70 197 1972-73 1973-74 197 6. How many years of teat experience do you have been been been been been been been be	70-71 1971-72 74-75 1975-76 Aching 7e? N Z 9 0 3 12 7 27
participated in the Program. 1968-69 1969-70 197 1972-73 1973-74 197 6. How many years of tea experience do you have Less than 2 2-5 5-10 More than 10	70-71 1971-72 74-75 1975-76 aching 7e? N % 0 0 3 12 7 27 15 58
participated in the Program. 1968-69 1969-70 197 1972-73 1973-74 197 6. How many years of tea experience do you have Less than 2 2-5 5-10 More than 10 No Response	70-71 1971-72 74-75 1975-76 aching 7e? N % 0 0 3 12 7 27 15 58

you completed?	<u>N</u>	<u>z</u>	
BA	19	73	
MA	5	19	i.
MA+	2	8	
7. Sex:	<u>M</u>	<u>%</u>	
Male			
ta a a compression plants and the first	0	0	
Female	26	100	
			
3. Age:	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	
Under 30	5	19	
30–50	14	54	e die Alba Light beids
Over 50	4	15	
No Response	3	12	
### (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)			
9. How often do you recei	vo Staf	f Dove	
	<u>N</u>	<u> 7</u>	ropmenc
Once a week	1	4	
Every other week	9	35	
Once a month	7	27	
	Ω	31	
Other			
and the second s	1.		

	N	<u>%</u>	
Very effective	15	58	
Somewhat effective	10	38	
Not at all effective	1	. 4	

11. How closely related are Follow Through model ideals to your education and training experiences?

	How effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	VEI	XY E	FFEC	TIVE 2	. ·	3		T AT PECT:		- 5	No Re	esponse
12.	Think for himself	<u>N</u>	<u>光</u> 15	<u>N</u> 7	- <u>%</u> 27	-	$\frac{\cancel{x}}{31}$. —	<u>0</u>	<u>%</u> 0
	Learn to relate to his age group											1	
14.	View school as a positive experience	8	31	8	31	8	31	1	4	0	0	1	4

								- '	-				
	How well does the Follow Through	VEF	Y WE	LL					·	POOR	LY		
	Model provide an effective approach	1			2	3	."		4	5	No	Resp	onse
	to the following academic areas?	N.	- %		_	N	%	N	%	N	%	<u>N</u>	7
15.	Reading	13	<u>*</u> 50		23	_		ī	4	0			0
16.	Arithmetic • • • • • •	11	42	7	27	4	15	4	1.5	0	0	0	0
1	Social Studies	1	4	4	15	7	27	6	23	6	23	2	8
	Science	1	4	2	8	9	35	8	31	6	.23	0	0
	Handwriting Skills	11	42	7	27	5	19	3	12	0	0	0	0
	Written Expression	 4	15	5	19	5	19	7	27	3	12	2	8
	Oral Expression	¹ 1, 1 5	19	7	27	5	19	6	23	3	12	· · · · · O · · ·	0
	Creative Activities	3	12	2	8	9	35	5	19	7	27	0	0

Please indicate the effectiveness of the various types of classroom help available to you.

NOT AT ALL

	avaliable to you.		VER	Y EF	FECTIVE			EF	FECT	IVE	
	Type of Classroom Help		1	1 <u>%</u>	2 <u>N</u> <u>%</u>	N	3 <u>%</u>	N	4 <u>%</u>	N	5 <u>%</u>
23.	Full time aide	٠.٠	20	77	5 19	1,	4	0	0	0	0
24.	Parent volunteers		4	15	6 . 23	9	35	5 (19	2	8
25.	Parent Scholars		10	38	5 19	7	27	3	12	1	4

	Has working in the Follow Through Model:	Ye	s	N	o	No Respo	nse
26.	Clarified your ideas of what education should do for the child	N	%	N	<u>%</u> .	<u>N</u> <u>%</u>	
27.	Increased your interest in individualized instruction	23	88	3	12	0 0	
28.	Increased your interest in reaching the home	22	. 85.	4	15.	00	an resident

29. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in the Follow Through Model?

IMI	ERY ORTA	NT	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT						
<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	2 <u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	3 <u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	4 <u>%</u>	<u> </u>	5 <u>%</u>
18	69	4	15	4	1.5	0	0	0	0

30. Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?

Yes	<u>N</u> 23	<u>#</u> 88
No	0	0
Don't Know	22	8
No Response	1	4

31. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 30.

		1	2	3.	. 4	5	6	7	8	. 9	10	
. .	N	11	8	6	4	3	0	5	0	0	5	
	%	42	31	23	15	12	0	19	0	0	19	

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF DEVELOPER AND TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

Staff Development?

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

. Follow Through Model Bilingual K to 3	6. What is the highest 1 you completed?		
. Follow Intough Model billingual K to 5		<u>N</u>	<u>z</u>
	ВА	12	48
	MA	8	32
	MA+	5	20
<u>N</u> <u>Z</u>	No Response		
Staff Developer	7. Sex:	<u>X</u>	<u>z</u>
Teacher 25 100	Male	1	4
Grade N % Grade N %	Female	សុខ្មាធិ៍ជ	gar e de
K 6 24 2 6 24		23	92
1 6 24 3 7 28	No Response	1	4
. Circle each year in which you have	8. Age:	<u>N</u> .	<u>z</u>
participated in the Follow Through Program.	Under 30	10	40
1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72	30-50	10	40
1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76	Over 50	2	8
	No Response	3	12
. How many years of teaching			
experience do you have? <u>N</u> <u>%</u>	9. How often do you recei	ve Staf	f Dev
Less than 2 2 8 2-5 8 32	Once a week	$\frac{N}{3}$	12
5-10 6 24	Every other week	2	8
More than 10 / 9 36	Once a month	7	28
	Other	10	40
<u>Years</u> <u>N</u> <u>%</u> <u>Years</u> <u>N</u> <u>%</u> 1 2 8 5 4 16	No Response	3 ⋅	12
1 2 8 5 4 16			

	Very effective		15	60
	Somewhat effective		4	4
	Not at all effective		4	16
ø	No Response	ing the second of the second o	2	8

11. How closely related are Follow Through model ideals to your education and training experiences?

1 2 3 4 5 No Response

How effective is your Follow Through	VER	Y E	FEC	TIVE					ALL IVE	•	-
Model for helping a child	1 N			2 %	-	3		4	5 N	%	. •
12. Think for himself	$\frac{1}{1}$	44		28	_			_		8	(
13. Learn to relate to his age group	16	24	3	12	4	16	. 1	4	1	4	
14. View school as a positive experience	14	56	4	16	5	20	1	4	1	4	

	Nan11 Jan- Aba	. P-11	Mb. and a second	L										er Historia			
· .: •	How well does the Model provide an				VE	RY WI	ELL						P00	RLY	1 3		þ
	to the following					1	2	2		3		4		5	No	Respons	зe
15.	Reading	•	•	•	1 <u>0</u>	<u>%</u> 40	$\frac{N}{4}$	$\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{16}$	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 20	$\frac{N}{1}$	<u>%</u>	$\frac{N}{3}$	$\frac{\%}{12}$		$\frac{N}{2}$ $\frac{\%}{8}$	
16.	Arithmetic		•	•	8	32	3	12	6	24	3	12	4	16		1 4	
17.	Social Studies	•	•		18	72	4	16	3	12	0	0	0	0		0 0	
18.	Science	. A section of the se		n in Konsupation for B	6	-24	6	- 24	7	-28	2	8	2-	8		28-	-
19.	Handwriting Skill	s ,		•	8	32	8	32	6	24	0	0	2	8		1 4	
20.	Written Expressio	n .		•	5	20	9	36	5	20	1	4	2	8	i i A	2 12	
21.	Oral Expression	•			19	76	3	12	1.	4	1	- 4	1	4		0 0	ing Ang Ang Ang
22.	Creative Activiti	es .	•		13	52	4	16	4	16	2	- 8	2	, 8 -		0 0	

Please indicate the effectiveness of the various types of classroom help available to you.

VERY EFFECTIVE No Response Type of Classroom Help N 88 2 8 0 0 0 0 22 23. Full time aide 36 2 16 2 8 16 24. Parent volunteers

25, Parent Scholars (Not Applicable)

Has working in the Follow Through Model:

26. Clarified your ideas of what education should do for the child $\frac{\text{Yes}}{20}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{80}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{4}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{16}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{1}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{4}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{16}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{1}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{16}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{1}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{16}$ $\frac{\text{$

29. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in the Follow Through Model?

 VERY IMPORTANT
 NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5

 N
 X
 N
 X
 N
 X
 N
 X

 21
 84
 2
 8
 2
 8
 0
 0
 0
 0

30. Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?

Yes $2\frac{N}{21}$ $\frac{\%}{84}$ No 2 8
Don't Know 2 8

31. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 30.

	1	2	3	4	- 5	6	7	8	9	10	
N	10	9	5	2	2	0	2	1	0	3	
%	40	36	20	8	8	0	8	4	0	12	

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF DEVELOPER AND TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

			<u> </u>
		en e	
The state of the s			
		<u>N</u> %	
Staff Develope	er		
Teacher	•	32 100	
ade <u>N</u> <u>%</u>	Grade	<u>N</u> <u>Z</u>	
8 25	2	9 28	
9 28	3	6_19	
972-73 1973-74	1974-75	1975–76	
ow many years of xperience do you		8 N %	Sur-
Less than 2		3 9	
2-5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	8 25	
5-10		9 28	
		12 38	
More than 10	1.0		
More than 10	Years	N % 2 6	
xperience do you Less than 2 2-5		N Z 3 9 8 25 9 28	

	completed?	<u>N</u>	<u>7</u>	
	BA	17	53	-
1 4	MĄ	5	16	
	MA+ No Response	9 1	28 3	
7. Sex:		N	<u>z</u>	
	Male	0	0	á tily
	Female	32	100	
3. Age:		<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	
	Under 30	9	28	
	30-50	20	63	
	Over 50	3	9	

ow	oft	en (lo you	receiv	e Staff	Deve	lopment?
*	Once	e a	week		7	22	
	Eve	cy c	ther	week	7	22	
:	Once	≘ a	mon th		11	34	
	Othe	er.		in the second	4	13	. 1
	No F	Resp	onse		3	9	and Andrews

Very effective .	<u>N</u> 17		<u>%</u> 53		
Somewhat effective	12	٠	38		
Not at all effective	1		3		
No Response	2		6	·	<u> </u>

11. How closely related are Follow Through model ideals to your education and training experiences?

1 2 3 4 5 No Response

1 2 3 4 5 No Response N X N X N X N X N X N X N X N X N X 11 34 10 31 4 13 4 13 1 3 2 6

How effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	VE	RY E	FFEC	TIVE 2		3	NOT EFF		ALL IVE	- 5
12. Think for himself	$\frac{N}{14}$	- <u>%</u> 44	$\frac{N}{11}$	- <u>%</u> 34	<u>N</u> 7	<u>%</u> 22	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 0	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 0
13. Learn to relate to his age group	14	44	10	31	6	19	2	6	0	0
14. View school as a positive experience	15	47	10	31	5	16	2	6.	0	0

					* *										
	How well does the Formula Model provide an ef				VE	RY W	ELL	1				P00	RLY		a di
	to the following ac					1	-	2	3		4			Respo	
					N	%	N	<u>%</u>	N	% 1	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	%	N	<u>%</u>
15.	Reading	·. •	•	•	13	41	14	44	5,1	6 (0	0	0	0	0
16.	Arithmetic	• . • . •		.a	13	41	14	44	5 1	6 (0	0	0	0	0 -
17.	Social Studies	■utre	•	•	13	41	6	19	12 3	8 (0 0	0	0	1	3
18.	. Science.		•,	•	13	41	9	28	92	8 (0	0-	0	1	-3
19.	Handwriting Skills		•	. +	7	22	11	34	12 3	8 1	. 3	0	0	1	3
20.	Written Expression			. •	13	41	13	41	5 1	6 (0	0	0	1	3
21.	Oral Expression	•		•	16	50	11	34	4 1	3 1	. 3	0	0	0	0
22.	Creative Activities	•	• .		21.	66	9	28	2	6 C	0	0	0	0	0

Please indicate the effectiveness of the various types of classroom help available to you.

NOT AT ALL

 Type of Classroom Help
 VERY EFFECTIVE
 FFECTIVE

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 No Response

 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N
 N</

25. Parent Scholars
 (Not Applicable)

Has working in the Follow Through Model:

26. Clarified your ideas of what education should do for the child $\frac{y_{es}}{24}$ $\frac{y_{es}}{75}$ $\frac{y_{es}}{5}$ $\frac{y_{es}}{16}$ $\frac{y_{es}}{3}$ $\frac{y_{es}}{9}$ 27. Increased your interest in individualized instruction

27 84 2 6 3 9

28. Increased your interest in reaching the home

25 78 4 13 3 9

29. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in the Follow Through Model?

 VERY
 NOT AT ALL

 IMPORTANT
 IMPORTANT

 1
 2

 3
 4

 5

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N
 N

 N

30. Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?

Yes 30 94 No 1 3 Don't Know 1 3

31. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 30.

	.,.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7.	8	9::	10	
-	N	11	13	11	4	4	3	1	1	0	2	
· . 	%	34	41	34	13	13	9	3	3	0	6	

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF DEVELOPER AND TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

- 1. School 2. Follow Through Model Florida Parent K to 3 3. N % Staff Developer 12 100 Teacher % Grade N Grade N % 2 2 17 K 3 25 3 25 3 1 33
- 4. Circle each year in which you have participated in the Follow Through Program.
 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72
 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76

Years	 N	%	Years	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
1	 2 .	17	4	5	42
$\bar{2}$	2	17	5	1.	8
3	 1	8	N.A.	1	8

6. What is the highest level of school you completed?

	N	<u> %</u>
BA	7	58
MĄ	3	25
MA+	2.	17

- 7. Sex: N Z

 Male 2 17

 Female 10 83
- 8. Age: N Z
 Under 30 5 42
 30-50 5 42
 Over 50 2 17
- 9. How often do you receive Staff Development?

Once a week	1	8
Every other week	. 3	25
Once a month	3	25
Other	5	42



Very effective	$\frac{N}{1}$	<u>%</u> 8	
Somewhat effective	6	50	
Not at all effective	2	17	
No Response	3	25	

11. How closely related are Follow Through model ideals to your education and training experiences?

	How effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	VEI	RY EF	FEC	rive 2	3	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE 4 5				
12.	Think for himself	N 4	% 33	<u>N</u>	% 8	<u>N</u>	% 33	$\frac{N}{2}$	<u>7</u> 17	<u>N</u>	$\frac{\%}{8}$
13.	Learn to relate to his age group	3	25	3	25	3	25	0	0	3	25
14.	View school as a positive experience	4	33	2	17	1	8	, 3	25	2	17

How well does Model provide to the followi	an effecti	ve app	roach	very well 2 3 4								POORLY 5		
15. Reading	ing academi			<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 33	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 33	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 25	$\frac{0}{\overline{N}}$	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 8	
16. Arithmetic	•	•	•	4	33	4	33	2	17	2	17	0	0	
17. Social Studies			•	3	25	3	25	3	25	2	17	. 1	8	
18. Science	•		•	2	17	4	33	3	25	1	8	2	17	
19. Handwriting Sk	ills .	•	•	5	42	3	25	1	. 8	2	17	1	8	
20. Written Expres	sion .	•		2	17	5	42	2	17	1	8	2	17	
21. Oral Expression	n .	•	•	4	33	6	50	1	8	0	0	1	8	
22. Creative Activ	ities .	•	•	2	. 17	6	50	2	17	. 1	8	1	8	



Please indicate the effectiveness of the various types of classroom help available to you. NOT AT ALL

		VE	TIVE	_		EFFECTIVE								
	Type of Classroom Help		1		2	•	3		4		5 1	No Re	espor	se
		\overline{N}	<u>%</u>	N	<u>z</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	%	÷
23	Full time aide	10	83	0	0	1	8	0	0.	0	0	1	8	- 1
24	Parent volunteers	1	8	4	33	3	25	1	8	1	8	2	17	
25	Parent Scholars	3	25	2	17	5	42	1	8	1	8	0	0	

Has working in the Follow Through Model: 25. Clarified your ideas of what education should do for the child $\frac{N}{8}$ $\frac{\%}{67}$ 27. Increased your interest in individualized instruction 75 3 25 28. Increased your interest in reaching the home 25

29. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in the Follow Through Model?

-	VERY					NO.	ORT	ALL	
N :	1 <u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	2 <u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	3 <u>%</u>	N N	4 %	N :	5 <u>7</u>
6	50	6	50	0	0	0	0	0	0

30. Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?

Yes 50 No 1 8 Don't Know 42

31. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 30.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
N	0 .	1	2	4	0	0		1	0	0	
%	0	8	17	33	0			8	0	0	

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF DEVELOPER AND TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

1. School	6. What is the highest le	yel of	school	
2. Follow Through Model Parent Implemente	VOU Completed?	<u>N</u>	<u>z</u>	
K to 3	BA	— 6	67	
	MA ·	1.	11	
	MA+	2	22	
3. <u>N</u> <u>Z</u>		•		
Staff Developer		;		
Teacher 9 100	7Sex:	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>	
Grade N % Grade N %	Male	1	11	
K 3 33 2 2 22	Female	8	89	
1 11 3 3 33			•	
4. Circle each year in which you have	8. Age:	N .	<u>z</u>	
participated in the Follow Through Program.	Under 30	5	56	-
1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72	30–50	2	22	
1,472-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76	Over 50	2	22	
5. How many years of teaching				
experience do you have? $\underline{N} = \frac{N}{2}$				·
Less than 2 3 33	9. How often do you receive	Staff	Develor	pment
2-5 1 11	Once a week	6	% 67	
5-10 2 22	Every other week	0	0	
More than 10 3 33	Once a month	0	0	
	Other	: 1 · ·	11	
Years N % Years N %		. <u>.</u>	11	
3 33 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No Response	2	22	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				*
7 1 1 4 11 9 11 8 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	58			

Very effective		<u>N</u>	$3\frac{2}{3}$	
Somewhat effective		3	33	
Not at all effective		2	22	
No Response	٠.	1	11	

How effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	<u>V</u> E		FFE	TIVE	3	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE 4 5				
12. Think for himself	$\frac{N}{3}$	$\frac{7}{33}$	<u>N</u>	$\frac{7}{33}$	$\frac{N}{3}$	<u>%</u> 33	<u>0</u> <u>1</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>z</u> 0
13. Learn to relate to his age group	2	22	3	33	4	44	0	.0	0	0
14. View school as a positive experience	3	33	3	33	2	22	1	11	Ó	0

									•					
	How well does the Hodel provide an ef				VE	RY WI	ELL				•		PCO	RLY
	to the following ac					 1		2		3		4		5
	to the following at	auci	ILC BIC	<u></u> ,	N	- %	N	~ %	N	- %	N	7	N	7.
15.	Reading	. •	٠	•	<u>::</u> 5.	<u>%</u> 56	$\frac{N}{2}$	22	1	$\frac{2}{11}$	0	0	$\frac{N}{1}$	11
16.	Arithmetic		•	•	4	44	2	22	2	22	0	0	1	11
17.	Social Studies	•	•		3	33	2	22	3	33	1	11	0	0
18.	Science	•	•	•	4	44	2	22	3	33	0	0	0	0
19.	Handwriting Skills	•		•	3	33	2	22	2	22	1	11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11
20.	Written Expression	•	. • .	•	3	33	2	22	3	33	0	0	1	11
21.	Oral Expression		•		5	56	3	33	0	0	0	0	1	11
22.	Creative Activities			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4	44	2	22	2	22	1	11	0	0



Please indicate the effectiveness of the various types of classroom help available to you.

	available to you.	VE	RY EF	FECT		EFFECTIVE					
	Type of Classroom Help		1	2	2		3	t		-	5
	2,50 02 0240000000000000000000000000000000	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	<u>%</u>	\overline{N}	<u>%</u>	\overline{N}	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>
23.	Full time aide	5 .	56	2	22	0.	0	2	22	. 0	0
24.	Parent volunteers	2 ·	22	2	22	. 1	11	1	11	3	33
25.	Parent Scholars	4	44	1	11	2 =	22	1	11	-1	11

Has work ng in the Follow Through Model:

26. Clarified your ideas of what education should do for the child $\frac{\text{Yes}}{7}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{78}$ $\frac{\text{N}}{1}$ $\frac{\text{X}}{11}$ $\frac{\text{N}}{1}$ $\frac{\text{X}}{11}$ $\frac{\text{No}}{11}$ $\frac{\text{X}}{11}$ $\frac{$

29. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in the Follow Through Model?

IM	VERY PORTA	NT	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT								
1		7	2		3	- 1	4		5		
<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	%	\underline{N}	<u>%</u>	$\overline{\mathbf{n}}$	<u> </u>		
								18 14 19			
8	89	0	0	1	11	0	0	0	0		

30. Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?

•	<u>N</u>	%
Yes	7	78
No	0	. 0
Don't Know	1	11
No Response	1	11

31. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 30.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
N	o	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	
%	0	56	0	1.1	0	0	0	0	0	44	

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF DEVELOPER AND TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

1. School			
2. Follow Through Mo			nia
**************************************	Proce	ess I	to 3
			-
			Park or a group year
3.		<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Staff Develope	· ·		
Teacher		18	100
Grade N Z	Grade	<u>N</u> 2	· <u>/</u>
K 5 28	2	5 2	8
1 4 22	3	4 2	22
1968-69 1969-70 1972-73 1973-74			1-72 5-76
5. How many years of	teaching)	
experience do you		<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Less than 2		2	11
2-5	•	2	11
5-10	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 4	22
More than 10		10	56
No Answer	, to the		
$\frac{\text{Years}}{1} \frac{\text{N}}{3} \frac{\%}{17}$	Years 5	<u>N</u> 3	<u>%</u> 17

you completed?		<u>N</u>	<u>z</u>	
BA	-	13	72	
МĄ		3	17	-
MA+		2	11	1.
			•	
7. Sex:	:	<u>n</u>	<u>z</u>	
Male	x	· 0	0	
Female		18	100	
		:		
3. Age:		N	<u>z</u>	
Under 30	**	3	17	
30-50	1 1	13	72	*
Over 50	. 1	2	11	
	1			
				·
			f Dovola	omen t
). How often do you	receiv	e Star N	Z Develo	F
Once a week	receiv	e Star $\frac{N}{0}$	7 0	
		N 0 1	<u>7.</u>	
	week	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 0	

17

No Responsé

Very effective	<u>N</u>	$\frac{\%}{2}$ 2
Somewhat effective	. 9	50
Not at all effective	4	22
No Response	1	6

How effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	VERY EFFECTIVE 2					3	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE 4 5				
12. Think for himself		- % 33	<u>N</u> 5	- 28	<u>N</u> 5	- <u>%</u> 28	$\frac{N}{1}$	$\frac{2}{6}$	<u>N</u>	$\frac{7}{6}$	
13. Learn to relate to his age group	5	28	8	44	3	17	2	11	0	0	
14. View school as a positive experience	5	28	- 6	33	7	39.	0	0	. 0	0	

	4																
		Now well does the Follow Through Model provide an effective approach						VERY WELL POORLY									
	to the following ac					1 2			3 4			;	5 No	Res	ponse		
15.	Reading	•		•	:	<u>8</u>	<u>%</u>	$\frac{N}{4}$	$\frac{\%}{2\lambda}$	<u>N</u>	2 <u>%</u>	<u>N</u> 1	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u> 6	о <u>й</u>	<u>%</u> 0
16.	Arithmetic					6	33	4	22	6	33	2	11	0	0	0	0
17.	Social Studies			•	•	3	17	4	22	9	50	2	11	0	0	0	0
18.	Science				٠.	9	50	3	17	5	28	1	. 6	0	0	0	0
19.	Handwriting Skills	•		•		2	11	5	28	8	44	3	17	0	0	0	0
20.	Written Expression	•		•		3	17	3	17	7	39	3	17	1	6	1	6
21.	Oral Expression			•	•	6	33	6	33	3	17	2	11	1	6	0	0
22.	Creative Activities				•	5	28	4	22	6	33	3	17	0	0	0	0

Please indicate the effectiveness of the various types of classroom help available to you.

NOT AT ALL

		VERY EFFECTIVE							EFFECTIVE					
1	Type of Classroom Help	1n · 1		2 3		3		4		5	No R	esponse		
		$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	<u>%</u>	\overline{N}	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	%	N	<u>%</u>	N	7	
23.	Full time aide	12	67	4	22	2	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	
24.	Parent volunteers	2	11	2	_11	3	17	3	17	5	28	3	17	
25.	Parent Scholars	4	22	4	22	7	39	3	17	0	0	0	0	

Has working in the Follow Through Model: Yes No No Response $\frac{N}{N}$ % \frac{N}

29. In general, how important do you think pre-school experience is for success in the Follow Through Model?

Z IME	ERY ORTA	NT	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT						
1		_ ;	2		3		4		5
<u>n %</u>		N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>z</u>
11	61	3	17	2	11	2	11	o	0

30. Would you like to see the Follow Through Program continued?

Yes	<u>N</u> 14	<u>%</u> 78
No	2	11
Don't Know	2	11

31. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 30.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
N	6	5	4	2	1	0	3	2	0	4	
%	33	1	22	11	6	0	17	11	0	22	en e

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES



FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

2. Follow Through Model Total Program
N=131

3. Grade in which you are a

classroom aide this year

Guade N % Grade N %
K 34 26 2 30 23

30

1974-75

23

1975-76

N

* 4. Circle each year in which you have participated in the Follow Through program:

1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72

1973-74

28

- 5. What is the highest level of school you completed? 3 10th Grade 7 11th Grade 12th Grade 67 51 High School + 1 Yr. 18 14 20 15 High School + 2 Yrs. High School + 3 Yrs. 10 3 Yigh School + 4 Yrs.
- 6. Sex: Male 2 2 Female 127 97 No Response 2 2
- 7. Age: Under 30 23 18
 30 50 92 70
 Over 50 12 9
 No Response 4 3

	ears	N	%	1	Years	N	%	
	1	15	$1\overline{1}$: } :	7	26	20	
	2	9	7		8	33	25	
·	3	. 3 .	2		N.A.	. 1	1	
	4	8	6					
	5	12	9					٠.
	6	24	18				. ,	,

- 9. How often do you receive Staff Development? $\overline{27}$ 21. Once a week Every other week 23 18 Once a month 33 Other 14 11 No response 10. In your opinion how effective is the Staff Development Program? % 80 61 Very effective Somewhat effective 30 23 Not at all effective 15 19 No Response

postitive response and 5 a negative one.	V	ERY	EFFE	CTIV	E	NAT	AT A	LL E	FFEC	TIVE		
How effective is your Follow Through		1		2		3.		4		5	NO RE	SPONSE
Model for helping a child	N	?	N	<u>Z</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>z</u>	N	<u> </u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Z</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>X</u>
ll. Think for himself	71	54	37	28	15	11	3	2	1	. 1	4	3
12. Learn to relate to his age group	67	51	34	26	19	15	5	4	0	0	6	5
13. View school as a positive experience	66	50	27	21	22	17	8	6	0	n	8	6

How well does the Follow ? provide an effective appro			VE	RY V	VELL	• .				<u> </u>	OORI	<u>.Y</u>	÷ .	
following academic areas?	Jacii co	CHG	N	1 %	N	2 %	N	3 <u>%</u>	N	4 Z	N .	5 %	NO RE	SPONSE
14. Reading		*	88	67	27	21	12	. 9	2	2	.0	0	2	2
15. Arithmetic	•	•	74	56	39	30	12	9	4	3	0	0	2	2
16. Social Studies .	•		58	44	30	23	18	14	10	8	2	2	13	10
17. Science	•		54	41	31	24	23	18	9	7	2	2	12	9
18. Handwriting Skills .	•	•	68	52	31	24	24	18	4	3	0	0	4	3
19. Written Expression .	•	•	60	46	37	28	18	14	5	4	. 2	2	9	7
20. Oral Expression .			78	60	28	21	11	8	5	4	3	2	6	5
21. Creative Activities	•	•	78	60	27	21	16	12	3	2	2	2	5	4

Has working in the Follow Through Model:		YES	NO	NO RESPONSE
22. Clarified your ideas of what education should do for the	child	<u>N</u> <u>%</u>	<u>N</u> <u>z</u>	<u>N</u> <u>Z</u> 5 4
23. Increased your interest in school			3 1 1	2 2
24. Increased your interest in reaching the home	•	116 89	5 4	10 8

25.	Do you	instruct	the whole class?	<u>1</u> 2	9 <u>NEV</u> 9	<u>₹</u> 22	OCCAS <u>N</u> 91	310NALL <u>%</u> 69	Y REGI N 6	JLARLY <u>%</u> 5	NO F N 5	RESPONSE Z 4
26.	Do you	instruct	small groups?		2	2	7	5	118	90	4	3
27.	Do you	instruct	individual children?		2	2	37	28	88	67	4	3
ERIC		ese de la composition della co		65 -52	5						e elili Villa Villa din	

28. Would you like to see the Follow Through program continued?

Yes	<u>N</u> 128	<u>%</u> 98
No	0	0
Don't Know	1	1
No Response	· · · · · 2	2

29. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 28.

•		1	2	3_	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	N	65	51	20	31	17	0	7	0	0	17	·
**************************************	%	50	39	15	24	13	0	5	0	0	13	
							 					

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

30. As a result of the Follow Through Program are you interested in further education for yourself? N $\frac{\%}{}$

Yes	111	85
No	. 4.	. 3
Don't Know	13	10
No Response	. 3	2



FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

1. School	. :
2. Follow Through Model Ba	ank Street N=12
.3. Grade in which you are a	
Classroom aide this year Grade N $\frac{\text{Grade}}{\text{K}}$ N $\frac{\text{N}}{3}$ $\frac{\text{Grade}}{2}$ N $\frac{\text{N}}{1}$ 1 4 33 $\frac{\text{Grade}}{3}$ 4	- <u>%</u> 8 33
* 4. Circle each year in which participated in the Foll program:	low Through
1968-69 1969-70 1970-7	71 1971–72
1972-73 1973-74 1974-7	75 1975-76
5. What is the highest leve	
you completed?	<u>N</u> <u>%</u>
10th Grade 11th Grade 12th Grade	4 33 4 33
High School + 1 Yr.	2 17
High School + 2 Yrs. High School + 3 Yrs.	2 17
High School + 4 Yrs.	
	N1 9/
6. Sex:	$\frac{N}{0}$ $\frac{\%}{0}$
Male Female	12 100
No Response	0 0 .
	N %
, 7. Age: Under 30	3 25
30 - 50	8 67
Over 50	1 8
No Response	0 0
* Years N Z	The state of the s
6 4 33	
7 4 33 3 25	,
o Response 1 8	

8. How many children do you	have in	the
Follow Through Program?	N	<u>%</u>
0	8	67
1	3	25
2 or more	1	8
No Response	0	0

			-
9. How often do you receive Sta	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{aff D} \\ \frac{N}{2} \end{array} $	evelopm <u>%</u>	ent?
Once a week	2	17	
Every other week	0	0	
Once a month	5	42	
Other	2	17	
No response	. 3 	25	la colombia e
10. In your opinion how effect:	rve 1	s the	±
Staff Development Program?	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>	
Very effective	2	17	
Somewhat effective	7	58	
Not at all effective	1	<u>.</u> 8	
No Response	2	17	S. Zař



F	lease answer the following questions on ostitive response and 5 a negative one. Now effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	a sc VE	ale RY E	fron FFEC	1-5	, wh	nere	1 i	ndica	tes	<u>a</u>		
F	low effective is your Follow Through	VE	RY E	FFEC	TIVE		יייסי	m A	T TT	3377 AC			
. <u>F</u>	low effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	1				•	LA-F	TIV	PP DE	FEC.	TAF		
· 1	odel for helping a child		_	2	2	3	3		4		5	NO RI	ESPONSE
		N	<u>"</u>	N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Z</u>	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	7	N	<u> 7</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Z</u>
1	1. Think for himself	6	50	4	33	1	8	1	8	0	0	0	0
	2. Learn to relate to his age group	7	58	3	25	1	8	1	. 8	0	0	0	0
<u>.</u>	3. View school as a positive experience	5	41	3	25	3	25	1	8	0	0,	0	0

				i									
* -									-			, : .	
1	Now well does the Follow Through Model provide an effective approach to the	VE	RY W	ELL					-	OORI			
	following academic areas?		1		2 .		3		4 .		5		esponse <u>Z</u>
_		N	<u> </u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u> </u>	N .	<u>z</u>	<u>N</u>	·
•	4. Reading · · · ·	8	67	2	17	2	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
;	L5. Arithmetic · · · ·	7	58	3	25	2	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
	l6. Social Studies · · ·	6	50	. 3	25	2	17	0	0	1	8	0	0
	17. Science · · ·	6	50	2	17	2	17	1	8	0	0	1	8
	18. Handwriting Skills	5	42	2	17	5	42	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
-	19. Written Expression	7	58	2	1.7	3	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
	20. Oral Expression	7	58	1	8	2	17	0	0	1	8	1	8
:	21. Creative Activities · ·	9	75	1	8	1	8	0	0	0	0	1	8
													
	las working in the Follow Through Model:								YES	z N	NO_	<u>NO</u>	RESPONSE %
•	22. Clarified your ideas of what educatio	n sl	nou1d	do	for	the	chi	Ld	12 10	00 () (0 0	0
-	23. Increased your interest in school	•			•		•		12 10	00 () (0 0	0
<i>t</i> , .	24. Increased your interest in reaching t	he l	nome		o .		• •		10 8	33	L	8 1	8
												· · · · ·	

			N N	NEVER %	OCCAS	SIONALLY %	REGU N	LARLY %	NO N	RESPONSE
25.	Do you instruct	the whole class?	2	17	9	75	1	8	0	0
26.	Do you instruct	small groups?	1.	8	2	1.7	9	75	0	.0.
27.	Do you instruct	individual children?	2	17	0	0	10	83	0	0
ERIC		talian ang kalangan sa sa kalang Ng Pangangan	68							

28. Would you like to see the Follow Through program continued?

o na nating garaga go makaban na pagawang garang Albara. A	<u> </u>
Yes 11 -	92
No. 19 April	U
Don't Know	0
No Response 1	8

29. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 28.

	. •		12	3 4	56_	7	8 9	10	
		N	7 6	1 2	2 0	2	0 0	2	
		%		8 .17	17 0	. 17	o o	17	
1 200									

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

30. As a result of the Follow Through Program are you interested in further education for yourself? \underline{N}

Yes 11 92

No 0 0

Don't Know 1 8

No Response 0 0

FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

1.	School_
2.	Follow Through Model Behavior Analysis N=24
3.	Grade in which you are a
Gr	Classroom aide this yearade N %
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

* 4. Circle each year in which you have participated in the Follow Through program:

1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72

1973-74

5. What is the highest level of school you completed? 10th Grade 11th Grade 8 12th Grade 16 67 High School + 1 Yr. High School + 2 Yrs. 3 13 High School + 3 Yrs. 1. 4 2 High School + 4 Yrs. .8

1974-75

1975-76

		N	<u>%</u>
6. Sex:	Male	0	0
	Female	24	100
	No Response	, 0	0

-		4.	/6
7. Age:	Under 30	O	0
	30 - 50	21	88
	Over 50	1	4
	No Response	2	8
April 2 A Form			• ,

* Years	N	%
' . 4/-,	1	4
5	2	8
6	3.	13
7.7	9	38
8 .	9	38

1972-73

						e in th	ıe
ro	rTOM	Inro	ugh Pr	ogram	?	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
		0				14	58
		1				6	25
		2 or	more			3	13
· · · · · ·		No R	espons	e		1	4

9. How often do you receive S	1	evelop	ent?
Once a week	<u>N</u>	13	
Every other week	10	42	
Once a month	7.	29	
Other	1	4	
No response	. 3	13	
10. In your opinion how effect		s the	
Staff Development Program	N	<u>%</u>	
Very effective	16		
Somewhat effective	7	29	
Not at all effective	0	O	
· ************************************		/	

Please answer the following questions on														
How effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child	-	ERY E		2	- .	3	* :		4		_	N	o re <u>N</u>	SPONSE
11. Think for himself	12	50	7	29	3]	L3	2	8	C		0	0	0
12. Learn to relate to his age group		42											0	0
. 13. View school as a positive experience	, ¹⁰	42	7	29	4		L./	2					•	a ™ Gerian na esta Gerian Gerian

How well does the Follow Through Model	VERY WELL	POORLY
provide an effective approach to the	1 2	3 4 5 NO RESPONSE
following academic areas?		<u>N Z N Z N Z N Z</u>
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{8}$ $\frac{1}{0}$ $\frac{1}{0}$ $\frac{1}{0}$ $\frac{1}{4}$
14. Reading · · ·	13 03 0 23	
15. Arithmetic · · · ·	13 54 7 29	4 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
16. Social Studies · · ·	6 25 4 17	3 13 5 21 1 4 5 21
17. Science · · · ·	6 25 3 13	5 21 4 17 2 8 4 17
18. Handwriting Skills		5 21 0 0 0 0 1 4
19. Written Expression	For the Late of the Late	5 21 2 8 2 8 4 17
20. Oral Expression		5 21 2 8 2 8 3 13
21. Creative Activities	8 33 5 21	5 21 2 8 2 8 2 8
Has working in the Follow Through Model:		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
22. Clarified your ideas of what educati	on-should do for-t	
23. Increased your interest in school	•	• 24 100 0 0 0 0
24. Increased your interest in reaching	the home .	20 83 1 4 3 13

Has working in the	Follow T	hrough Mode	<u>l:</u> ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					NO RESPONSE
		to the second							<u>N</u> <u>%</u>
22. Clarified your	ideas of	what educa	tion shoul	ld do	for the	hild	22 92	-oō	28
23. Increased your	interest	in school	•	•	•	. e Seg	24 100	o o	0 0
24. Increased your	interest	in reaching	g the home	9			20 83	1 4	3 13

	NEVER OCCASIONALLY REGULARLY NO RESPONSE
	\underline{N} \underline{Z} \underline{N} \underline{Z} \underline{N} \underline{Z}
25. Do you instruct the whole class?	8 33 15 63 1 4 0 0
26. Do you instruct small groups?	0 0 1 4 22 92 1 4
27. Do you instruct individual children?	0 0 15 63 8 33 1 4

28. Would you like to see the Follow Through program continued?

Yes	$rac{ ext{N}}{ ilde{ ilde{2}4}}$	00
No		0
Don'	t Know	0
No R	esponse 0	0

29. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 28.

==			L 2 3 4	5 6	7 8 9	10	
	N		4 9 2 4	Single Section	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	at a makifu asar aya ki sa	
	%	58	8 38 8 17	13 0 8	8 0 0	8	

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

30. As a result of the Follow Through Program are you interested in further education for yourself? \underline{N} \underline{N}

Yes	20	83
No	1	4
Don't Know	3	13
No Response	0	0



FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

1. School	
2. Follow Through Model Bilingual	N=22
3. Grade in which you are a	
classroom aide this year Grade N % Grade N %	
K 6 27 2 6 27 1 7 32 3 3 14	

4. Circle each year in which you have participated in the Follow Through program: 1971-72 1969-70 1970-71 1968-69 1975-76 1973-74 1974-75

1972-73

5. What is the highest level of school you completed? 1 5 10th Grade 11th Grade 11 50 12th Grade 18 High School + 1 Yr. 18 4 High School + 2 Yrs. 2 9 High School + 3 Yrs. High School + 4 Yrs.


6. Sex:	Male Female	2 19	9 86	
	No Response	1 -	5	
		<u>H </u>	%	

<u></u>	and the second of the second o	<u> </u>		
7. Age:	Under 30	13	59	
	30 - 50	.7	32	
	Over 50	1	5	
	No Response	1	. 5	

. 2						_
×	Years	N	. %	Years	<u>N</u> - <u>%</u>	
	1	-5	23	6	2 9	
	2	7	32	- 7	1 5	
	3	1	5	8	1 5	
ij.	4	2	9			
	5	3	14			

	y childrén do		in the	
Follow	Through Progr	am?	<u>N</u> <u>Z</u>	1,
	0		11 50	
	1		3 14	
	2 or more		5 23	
	No Response		314_	* 12 (1 d) (

9.	How often do you receive	Staff	Developm	ent?
	Once a week	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 32	. Ex 18.785 2 (19.144) 2 (19.144)
	Every other week	1	5	
	Once a month Other	5 5	23 23	
<i>.</i>	No response	4	18	
10	. In your opinion how effe Staff Development Progra		is the <u>%</u>	
. •	Very effective	13	59	
	Somewhat effective	2	9	
	Not at all effective	1	5	
	No Response	6	27	
			···	20.00





Please answer the following questions on	a s	cale	fr	om 1-5	<u> </u>	where	1 1	ndio	cate	<u>s a</u>		
postitive response and 5 a negative one.	V	ERY	EFF	ECTIVE	3	NAT	AT A	LL I	EFFE	CTIVE		
How effective is your Follow Through	ĺ	1		2		3		4		-		ESPONSE
Model for helping a child	N	%	N	<u> </u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u> 7</u>	<u>N</u>	Z	<u>N</u>	<u>Z</u>
11. Think for himself	14	64	3	14	2	9	0	0	1	5	2	9
12. Learn to relate to his age group	10	45	5	23	2	9	3	14	0	0	2	9
13. View school as a positive experience	14	64	0	0	3	14	3	14	0	0	2	9

provide an effective approach to the following academic areas? 1		How	well does the Follow Three	ugh Mode	1	VE	RY WI	ELL		: .			<u>P</u>	OORLY		•	
following academic areas? N Z N<				to the			1	2			3	4		5		NO RE	SPONSE
15. Arithmetic		fol1	owing academic areas?			N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>				<u>x</u>	<u>N</u>	7.	<u>N</u>	<u> </u>
16. Social Studies		14.	Reading · ·			18	82	σ	0	-2	9-	1	5	0_	-0-	1	5
17. Science 7 32 7 32 3 14 3 14 0 0 2 9 18. Handwriting Skills 13 59 4 18 3 14 1 5 0 0 1 5 19. Written Expression . </td <td>٠. ً</td> <td>15.</td> <td>Arithmetic · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>13</td> <td>59</td> <td>3</td> <td>14</td> <td>2</td> <td>9</td> <td>3</td> <td>14</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>- 5</td>	٠. ً	15.	Arithmetic · ·			13	59	3	14	2	9	3	14	0	0	1	- 5
18. Handwriting Skills 13 59 4 18 3 14 1 5 0 0 1 5 19. Written Expression 12 55 5 23 3 14 1 5 0 0 1 5		16.	Social Studies .	•		14	64	4	18	1	5	. 2 	9	0	0	1	5
19. Written Expression		17.	Science · ·	•		7	32	7.	32	3	14	3	14	0	0	2	9
경우들은 그는 어떻게 하고 있는 것들은 경기에 가장하는 것 같아 나는 어느 그렇게 되었다.	* : *	18.	Handwriting Skills .	•		13	59	4	18	3	14	1	-5	0	0	1	5
20. Oral Expression 16 73 3 14 1 5 1 5 0 0 1 5		19.	Written Expression .			12	55	5	23.	3_	14	1	5	0	0	1	5
transfer the control of the first transfer to the control of the c		20.	Oral Expression .			16	73	3	14	1	5	1	5	0	0.	.1	5
21. Creative Activities 12 55 5 23 3 14 1 5 0 0 1 5		21.	Creative Activities			12	55	5	23	3	14	1	5	0	0	1	5

Has working in the	Follow Through Model:		YES NO	NO RESPONSE
	ideas of what education	should do for the chi	<u>n % n %</u>	<u>N</u> <u>%</u>
	interest in school) 1 5
24. Increased your	interest in reaching th	e home • • •	21 95 0) 1 5

	NEVER Z	$\frac{\text{OCCASIONALLY}}{\underline{N}}$	REGULARLY N Z	$\frac{\text{NO RESPONSE}}{\underline{N}} \frac{\underline{Z}}{\underline{Z}}$
25. Do you instruct the whole class?	4 18	17 77	0 0	1 5
26. Do you instruct small groups?	1 5_	00	20 91	1 5
27. Do you instruct individual children?	0 0	6 27	14 64	2 9

ERIC

-61-

28. Would you like to see the Follow Through program continued?

Yes	$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{N}{20} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \end{array}$
No	0 0
Don't Know	1 5
No Response	1 5

29. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 28.

		- T.															 -
							Ω		E			7	Ω	a	10	٠	
						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	. 4	5								 .
i.		N		10) 1:	2	8	6	2	()	1	0	0	2	?	- 1
	 	%	,	4.5	5 5.	 5	36	27	9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)	- 5	0	0	9)	
	 2 .			SEE	PAGE	: 15	FOF	EX	PLAN	ATION	I OF	RES	SPONS	E CA	regor:	IES	

30. As a result of the Follow Through Program are you interested in further education for yourself? \underline{N}

Yes	19	86
No	0	0
Don't Know	2	9
No Response	1	5

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA Office of Research and Evaluation Follow Through Evaluation, April, 1976

FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

2. Follo	w Through Model	EDC	N=32
3. Grade	in which you are	e a	
Class Grade N K 7	room aide this you will be seen and the seen and the seen and the seen are seen as a seen and the seen are seen as a seen are seen are seen as a seen are seen are seen as a seen are seen are seen are seen as a seen are seen are seen as a seen are seen are seen are seen as a seen are seen are seen are seen are seen are seen as a seen are seen are seen are seen are seen as a seen are seen are seen are seen as a seen are seen are seen are seen are seen are seen as a seen are see	ear <u>%</u> 25	
1 9	28 3 8	25	
4. Circl parti progr 1968- 1972-	69 1969-70 1970	ollow Th	171-72
you c	is the highest lonpleted?	evel of	school
11th 12th Hich	Grade Grade School + 1 Yr.	1 16 7	3 50 22
lligh	School + 2 Yrs. School + 3 Yrs. School + 4 Yrs.	3 4 1	13 3
		N	%
5. Sex:	Male Female No Response	0 31	0 97 3
7. Age:	Under 30 30 - 50	<u>!1</u> 3 25	<u>%</u> 9 78
	Over 50 No Response	3 1	9
Years	<u>N</u> <u>Z</u> Y	ears <u>N</u>	V %
1 2	6 19 2 6	6 5	

many children do you hav ow Through Program?	ve in th <u>N</u>	e %
0	16	50
	12	38
2 or more	4	13
No Response	0	0

9. How often do you receive St	aff l	Development?
Once a-week	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 13
Every other week	7	22
Once a month	18	56
Other	0	0
No response	3	9
10. In your opinion how effect Staff Development Program?		s the <u>%</u>
Very effective	27	84
Somewhat effective	5	16
Not at all effective	o	0
No Response	0	, 0,

76

Please answer the following questions o postitive response and 5 a negative one				** 2								
How effective is your Follow Through Model for helping a child		1 2		2	-	3		4	1+11 . 144	5 <u>7</u>	NO RE	SPONSI <u>%</u>
11. Think for himself	20	63		1.0		9	100	1 1		0	0	0
12. Learn to relate to his age group	25	78	5	16	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
13. View school as a positive experienc	e 17	53	9	28	5	16	0	0	0	0	1	3
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
How well does the Follow Through Model provide an effective approach to the	<u>v</u>	ERY V		100					POOF			
following academic areas?	N	1 <u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	2 <u>%</u>	N	3 <u>%</u>		4 <u>Z</u>	<u>N</u>	5 <u>Z</u>		SPONS
14. Reading · · · ·	22	69		19	4	13		0		,	or A management was not	0
15. Arithmetic	20	63	9	28	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
16. Social Studies · · ·	17	53	8	25	5	16	1	3	0	0	_ 1	3
17. Science · · · ·	13	41	10	31	7	22	0	O	0	0	2	6
18. Handwriting Skills	18	56	8	25	4	13	1	3	0	0	1	3
19. Written Expression	17	53	10	31	4	13	0		0	0	1	3
20. Oral Expression	23	72	7	22	1	3	1	3	0	0	0	0
21. Creative Activities	25	78	5	16	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Has working in the Follow Through Model	<u>:</u>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						YES	- - -	NO_	NO R	ESPON
22. Clarified your ideas of what educat	ion-s	hould	d-do	for	-the	-chi	Ld	<u>30 </u>	<u>^</u> -	<u>.</u> (. <u></u>) 2	<u>~</u> 6
23. Increased your interest in school	•		•	•		•		31	97	0 () 1	3
24. Increased your interest in reaching	the	home		•		•	. 15 . y 54. . 11.	30	94	0 () 2	6
		V Jereni	(- '		
		<u>NI</u>	EVER		OCCA	SION	ALLY	R	EGUI	ĄRLY		ESPON
25. Do you instruct the whole class?		<u>N</u>		<u>み</u> 19	22	<u>≁</u> 69	 		3	<u>%</u> 9	<u>N</u> 1	<u>%</u> 3
and the second of the second o					N. 1							
26. Do you instruct small groups?	1 4 1	0		0	2	6		74	0	94	0 1	0

28. Would you like to see the Follow Through program continued?

	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Yes	32	100
No	0	0
Don't Know	0	0
No Response	0	0

29. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 28.

				2000									t profit in a	19.00
		14 T		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
· .	e Vizi		N	12	15	5	5	2	0	1	0	0	4	
			%	38	47	16	16	6	0	3	0	0	13	
				SEE	PAGE 1	5 FOR	EXPL	ANAT	ION OF	RESPO	NSE	CATEG	ORIES	42 T. 48 1

30. As a result of the Follow Through Program are you interested in further education for yourself? \underline{N}

Yes	27	84
No	1	3
Don't Know	4	13
No Response	0	0

THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.



THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA Office of Research and Evaluation Follow Through Evaluation, April, 1976

FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below

2. Follow Through Model	Florida Parent
	N=10
3. Grade in which you are classroom aide this grade N $\frac{\%}{K}$ Grade 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	year

1974-75

1975-76

- participated in the Follow Through program: 1968-69 1969-70 1971-72 1970-71 1972-73 1973-74
- 5. What is the highest level of school you completed? 2 20 10th Grade 11th Grade 60 12th Grade High School + 1 Yr. 10 1. 10 High School + 2 Yrs. High School + 3 Yrs. High School + 4 Yrs.

6. Sex:	Male	0	0
	Female	10	100
	No Response	0	0
7. Age:	Under 30	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u> 0
	30 - 50	8	80
	Over 50	2	20
	No Response	0	0

* Years	N	<u>%</u>		-
1	1	10		-
6	2	20		
7	4	40	Roman Carlo	5 4
8	3	30		

8. How man	ny children do you have in t	he
Follow	Through Program?	1.22
	0 8	<u>~</u> 80
	1	10
	2 or more 1	10
	No Response 0	· 0

9. How often do you receive Sta	ff D	3120 Table	
	N	zveropm Z	enti
Once a week		30	
Every other week	3	30	17 4 (17) 19
Once a month	1	10	
Other	0	0	
No response	3	30	
10. In your opinion how effecti Staff Development Program?	ve 15 <u>N</u>	the <u>%</u>	
Very effective	4	40	
Somewhat effective	4	40	
Not at all effective	0	.0	

No Response



Please answer the following questions on	a.sc	ale	fro	m 1-5	, w	here	1 in	dica	tes	<u>a</u> :	
postitive response and 5 a negative one.	VE	RY	EFFE	CTIVE		NOT A	AT AL	L EF	FEC	TIVE	
						: '''' 		77.44			•
How effective is your Follow Through	1			2	٠.	3	. 4)		ָלַ. ב	
Model for helping a child	N	- <u>7</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	<u> %</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	<u> 7</u>	
						19 .					
11. Think for himself	4	40	4	40	2	20	0	0	0	0	
12. Learn to relate to his age group	5	50	3	30	2	20	Ō	0	Ō	Ó	1
13. View school as a positive experience	6	60	2	20	2	20	0	0	0	0	

How well does the Follow Through Model	VERY W	ELL		POORLY	
provide an effective approach to the following academic areas?	1 N %	2 N %	3 N % N	4 5 <u>X N X</u>	NO RESPONSE
14. Reading · · ·	8 80	2 20			
15. Arithmetic · · · ·	9 90	1 10	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0
16. Social Studies · · ·	5 50	1 10	3 30 0	0 0 0	1 10
17. Science · · · ·	4 40	2 20	3 30 1	10 0 0	0 0
18. Handwriting Skills	7 70	2 20	1 10 0	0 0 0	0 0
19. Written Expression	5 50	4 40	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 10
20. Oral Expression	5 50	3 30	0 0 1	10 0 0	1 10
21. Creative Activities	5 50	1 10	4 40 0	0 0 0	0 0

Has	working in t	he Follow T	hrough Model				YES	NO
•			* g/l					<u>N Z</u>
—22.	Clarified yo	our ideas of	what educat	ion should	do for t	he child-	10 100	0
23.	Increased yo	our interest	in school		•	• ;	10 100	0 0

		NEVE	ER	OCCASI	ONALLY	R	EGULĄ	RLY I	NO RE	SPONSE
		N	_ <u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	र ६/६१ <u>क</u> . :	N	%	N	Z
25. Do you instruct the whole class?		2	20	7	70		0	0	1	10
26. Do you instruct small groups?		0	0	1	10		9 9	0	0	0
27. Do you instruct individual, children?	•	0	0	2	20		8 8	80	0	0

28. Would you like to see the Follow Through program continued?

Yes	$\frac{N}{10} \qquad \frac{7}{100}$
No	0 0
Don't Know	0 0
No Response	0 0

29. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 28.

	•					
	1	2 3 4	5 6	5 7	8 9	10
N	6	1 0 8	2 0) 1	0 0	0
*	60	10 0 80	20 0) 10	0 0	0

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

30. As a result of the Follow Through Program are you interested in further education for yourself? N %

Yes	10	100
No	0	0
Don't Know	0	
No Response	0	0

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHL. Office of Research and Evaluation Follow Through Evaluation, April, 1976

FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDE QUESTIONNAIRE

N=6

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

1. School		
2. Follow Through Model Pare	ent Imp N≘	
3. Grade in which you are a		
Classroom aide this year	le tra	
4. Circle each year in which participated in the Follow program:		
1968-69 1969-70 1970-71		
1972-73 1973-74 1974-75	1975	-76
5. What is the highest level you completed?	of sc	hool <u>%</u>
10th Grade 11th Grade	2	33
12th Grade High School + 1 Yr.	- 4	J J
High School + 2 Yrs. High School + 3 Yrs.	4	67
High School + 4 Yrs.		
	N	<u>%</u>
6. Sex: Male Female	0	0 100
temate		100
7. Age: Under 30 % 30 - 50 Over 50	1 1 5 0	½ 17 83 0

N

3

2

Years

5

6

8

%

50

33

17

ny children do Through Progra		in the
	<u>N</u>	<u>Z</u>
	ente de la companya d	
		17.
2 or more	•	17
No Response	0	0 ********

. * . - '	low often do you receive St	aff De <u>N</u>	velopmen: %
	Once-a-week	6	00
	Every other week	0	0
,	Once a month	0	0
	Other	0	0
0.	No response In your opinion how effect	0	the
	Staff Development Program?	N I	<u>z</u>
٠	Very effective	4	67
	Somewhat effective	2	33
	Domewhat effective		
	Not at all effective	0	0

Please answer the following questions on	a sc	ale	fro	n 1-5	<u>, w</u>	here	1 1	ndica	ites	<u>a</u>		
postitive response and 5 a negative one.				TIVE		TON	AT A	LL E	FECT	CIVE		
How effective is your Follow Through	j	Ĺ	2			3	4		5			
Model for helping a child	N	<u>"</u>	N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u> </u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>Z</u>		
11. Think for himself	3	50	3	50	0	0	0	0	0	. 0		
12. Learn to relate to his age group	4	67	0	0	2	33	. 0	0	0	0		
13. View school as a positive experience	4	67	0	0	1	17	1	17	. 0	0		

The second secon					4.00								
How well does the Follow	Thro	ough Mo	de1	VI	ery w	ELL				<u>P</u>	OORL	Y	
provide an effective app	roact	ı to th	<u>ie</u>		4			1				5	
following academic areas				N	<u>አ</u>	<u>n 2</u>	<u>N</u>	, %	N.	7.	N	76	
14. Reading ·	•	•		2	33	2 33	. 2	33	0	0	0	0	
15. Arithmetic •	• .	•	•	3	50	3 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16. Social Studies		•	4.	3	50	2 33	1	17	0	0	0	0	
17. Science ·	•	•	• :	4	67	1 17	. 1	17.	0	0	0	0	1.
18. Handwriting Skills	•		•	2	33	00	3	. 50	. 1	17	0	Ō	
19. Written Expression			. •	3	50	1 17	1	17	1	17	0	0	
20. Oral Expression	•	*1.5.1		5	83	1 17	0	0	0 .	0	0	0	
21. Creative Activities		•	•	4	67	2 33	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	
							11.						

				en de la companya de La companya de la co	, syda
Has working in the Follow Thro	ough Model:		YES	NO NO RESE	ONSE
				<u>N</u> <u>Z</u> <u>N</u> <u>Z</u>	
22. Clarified your ideas of w	hat education should	do for the child	6 100	0 0 0 0	
23. Increased your interest in	n school		5 83	3 1 17 0 0	
24. Increased your interest i	n reaching the home		5 83	3 0 0 1 17	

			<u>NEV</u>	ER OCCAS	SIONALLY <u>%</u>	REGU N	JLARLY <u>%</u>	
25. Do	you instruct	the whole class?	2	33 4	, 67	0	Ô	
26. Do	you instruct	small groups?	0	0 0	0	6	100	2.
27. Do	you instruct	individual childre	n?	0 0		. 6	100	A. T.
			8.3					ento,

28. Would you like to see the Follow Through program continued?

	$\overline{\Sigma}$	<u>%</u>
Yes	6	100
No	0	0
Don't Know	0	0
No Response	0	:0

29. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 28.

 	_						100			
	1	2	3	i, 4	5	6	7	8	9	10
N	3	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
%	50	67	17	0	33	0	Ó	0	0	0
SEE	PAGE	15 FO	R EXP	LANAT	O NOI	FRES	PONSE	CATE	GORIES	

30. As a result of the Follow Through Program are you interested in further education for yourself? N %

Yes	5	83
No	1	17
Don't Know	0	0
No Response	0	0

THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA Office of Research and Evaluation Follow Through Evaluation, April, 1976

FOLLOW THROUGH CLASSROOM AIDE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please circle the number or supply the information requested to answer the questions below.

classroom aide this year. Crade N % Grade N % K 6 24 2 6 24 1 7 28 3 6 24 4. Circle each year in which participated in the Follow program: 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71	you have Through 1971-72 1975-76
classroom aide this year . Cra'e N % Grade N % K 6 24 2 6 24 1 7 28 3 6 24 4. Circle each year in which participated in the Follow program: 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 5. What is the highest level you completed?	you have Through 1971-72 1975-76
Crade N % Grade N % K 6 24 2 6 24 1 7 28 3 6 24 4. Circle each year in which participated in the Follow program: 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 5. What is the highest level you completed?	you have Through 1971-72 1975-76
participated in the Follow program: 1968-69 1969-70 1970-71 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 5. What is the highest level you completed?	7 Through 1971-72 1975-76
1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 5. What is the highest level you completed?	1975-76
you completed?	-£ cobce1
	$\frac{N}{1}$ $\frac{Z}{4}$
11th Grade 12th Grade 11th Grade 11th School + 1 Yr. 11th School + 2 Yrs. 11th School + 3 Yrs. 11th School + 4 Yrs.	2 8 12 48 4 16 5 20 1 4
6. Sex: Male Female No Response	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \underline{N} & \underline{Z} & \\ 0 & 0 \\ 25 & 100 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}$
7. Aga: Under 30 30 - 50 Over 50 No Response	N Z 3 12 18 72 4 16 0 0
* Years N % Years 1 3 12 7 3 1 4 8	N % 3 12 6 24

8.		ny children do you	have in	the
	Follow	Through Program?	<u> N</u>	<u>%</u>
	•	0	12	48
		1	8	32
		2 or more	4	16
		No Response	1	4

9. How often do you receive St	N	Developme %	nt?
Once a week	2	8	
Every other week	2	8	
Once a month	7	28	-
Other	6	24	= (
No response	8	32	·
10. In your opinion how effect		is the	
Staff Development Program?	N	<u>%</u>	
Very effective	14	56	
Somewhat effective	3	12	
Not at all effective	0	0	
No Response	8	32	



Please answer the following questions on	a s	ca1e	fro	om 1.–	5, ω	here	1 i	ndica	ates	a	* .	-
postitive response and 5 a negative one.				ECTIV			AT A					
How effective is your Follow Through		1		2		3		4		5	NO RI	SPONSE
Model for helping a child	N	<u></u>	N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	Й	<u>%</u>	N	<u> Z</u>	N	<u>Z</u>
11. Think for himself	12	48	7	28	4 ·	16	0	0	0	0	2	8
	6	24	10	40	5	20	o	0	0	0	4	16
12. Learn to relate to his age group		,	_		,	20	1		0	٥		16
13. View school as a positive experience	10	40	6	24	4	16	1	4	U	()	4	

	11 does the Follow		27		VE	RY W	ELL	•				P	OORLY	<u>.</u>		
	e an effective app ing academic areas		to t	<u>che</u>	1	_	2		3		4		5			SPONSE
101E3W	ing academic area.	<u>.</u> *			N	<u>%</u>	<u>и</u> 9	<u>%</u> 36	N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>7</u>	N	<u>%</u>
14. Re	ading -	•	• .	. •	15	60	9	36	0	0	1	4	. 0		, 0	0
15. Ar	ithmetic -	•	• .	• • •	9	36	13	52	1	4	1	4	0	0	- 1	4
16. So	cial Studies	: •	•	•	7	28	8	32	3	12	2	8	0	0,	5	20
17. Sc	ience .	•	•	•	14	56	6	24	2	8	0	0	0	0	3	12
18. Ha	ndwriting Skills	• 1	•	•	11	44	9	36	3	12	1	4	0	0	1	4
19. Wr	itten Expression	•	•	•	8	32	12	48	2.	-8	1	4	0	0	2 ···	8
20. Or	al Expression	•	4	•	13	52	10	40	2	8	0	0	O	0	. 0	0
21. Cr	eative Activities		•	•	15	60	8	32	1	. 4	0	0	0	0	. 1	4

	Has	working in the	Follow Through Model:		* :	-	YES	NO	NO RESPONSE
,				— ·			<u>N %</u>	<u>N</u> <u>%</u>	NO RESPONSE N %
	22.	Clarified your	ideas of what educati	ion should do	for the	child	23 92	1 4	1 4
	23.	Increased your	interest in school	•	•		25 100	0 0	0 0
	24.	Increased your	interest in reaching	the home		• • • • •	21 84	2 8	2 8

	N I	NEVER 7	OCCASI N	ONALLY %	REGULA!	RLY NO F	RESPONSE Z
25. Do you instruct the whole class?	5	20		68	1		8
26. Do you instruct small groups?	0	0	- 1	4	22	38 2	8
27. Do you instruct individual children?	0	. 2 0	8	32	17	58 0	0
RIC	_8	6					

28. Would you like to see the Follow Through program continued?

		N	%
Yes		25	100
No		0	0
Don't Know	. •	0	0
No Response		0	0

29. Please specify the reasons for your response to Question 28.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	2	. 3	4	5	6	7	8	9_	_10	
	И	13	4	3	6	4	0	0	0.	0	7	
	%	52	16	12	24	16	0	0	0	0	28	

SEE PAGE 15 FOR EXPLANATION OF RESPONSE CATEGORIES

30. As a result of the Follow Through Program are you interested in further education for yourself? \underline{N}

Yes	19	76
No	1	4
Don't Know	3	12
No Response	2	8

THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.



SCHOOL_Total Program N=578

GRADE_ K-3

		AGF	EE	DISAC	REE	NO RE	SPONSE
		N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u> .
1.	My child enjoys school	542	94	32	6	4	1
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	519	90	52	9	7	1
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	541	94	22	4.	15	3
	I like the way my child is being taught:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4.	Reading	503	87	61	11	14	2
5.	Arithmetic	505	87	62	11	11	2
6.	Science	346	60	69	12	163	28
7.	Social Studies	358	62	69	12	151	26
8.	Writing Skills	521	90	41	7	16	3
9.	Speaking Skills	530	92	40	7	8	1
10.	How to be creative	530	92	38	7	10	2
11.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	567	98	11	2	0	0
12.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	463	80	104	18	11	2
13.	I attend school meetings	369	64	176	30	33	6
14.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	535	93	35	6	8	1
15.	I would like to work in the school	42 2	73	132	23	24	4
16.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	5 2 9	92	29	5	20	3
			YES		NO	NO I	RESPONSI
L7.	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	. <u>N</u>	1	K N	. %



SCHOOL Bank Street Model N=82

GRADE K-3

		AGR	EE	DISAG	REE	NO RE	SPONSE
		N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
•	My child enjoys school	77	94	4	5	1	1
	My child is learning to think for himself	79	96	3	4	0	0
•	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	72	88	9	11	1	ī
	I like the way my child is being taught:	69	84	12	15	1	1
•	Arithmetic	69	84	12	15	. 1	1
	Science	54	66	23	28	5	6
•	Social Studies	52	63	23	28	7	9
	Writing Skills	77	94	5	6	0	0
	Speaking Skills	73	89	9	11	0	0
	How to be creative	78	95	4	5	0	0
	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	79	96	3	4	0	0
•	I am developing a greater interest in my own education,	63	77	17	21	2	2
•	I attend school meetings	58	·71	23	28	1	1
	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	76	93	4	5	2	2
	I would like to work in the school	66	80	14	17	2	2 ,
•	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	74	90	6	7.	2	2
_			YES		NO	. NO	RESPONS
	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	N	2	<u> </u>	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>



SCHOOL Behavior Analysis Model N=124

GRADE K-3

			····			- vo' neanovar		
		AGR	EE	DISAC	REE			
		<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	\overline{N}	<u>%</u>	
1.	My child enjoys school	117	94	6	5	1	1	
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	114	92	10	8	0	. 0	
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	115	93	6	5	3	2	
	I like the way my child is being taught:							
4.	Reading	109	88	14	11	1	1	
5.	Arithmetic	107	86	14	11	3	2	
6.	Science	76	61	17	14	31	25	
7.	Social Studies	79	64	16	13	29	23	
8.	Writing Skills	108	87	14	11	2	2	
9.	Speaking Skills	113	91	10	8	, 1	1 1	
10.	How to be creative	118	95	5	4	1	1	
11.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	123	99	1	1	0	0	
12.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	95	77	27	22	2	2	
13.	I attend school meetings	80	65	44	. 35	0	0	
14.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	114	92	10	8	0	0	
15.	I would like to work in the school	93	75	30	24	1	1	
16.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	117	94	6	5	1		
			YES		NO	NO F	ESPONSE	
17.	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>_%</u> 2	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	
		120	97	2	2	. 2	2	



SCHOOL Bilingual Model N=97

GRADE K-3

٠.		AGR	EE	DISA	GREE	NO RESPONSE		
		N	<u>%</u>	N	<u> 2</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	
1.	My child enjoys school	93	96	3	3	1	1	
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	85	88	6	6	6	6	
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	94	97	0	0	3	3	
	I like the way my child is being taught:						*	
4.	Reading	84	87	12	12	1	1	
5.	Arithmetic	86	89	11	11	0	0	
5 .	Science	65	67	6	6	26	27	
7.	Social Studies	79	81	4	- 4	14	14	
3.	Writing Skills	87	90	10	10	0	0	
) .	Speaking Skills	89	92	8	8	0	0	
) .	How to be creative	90	93	4	4	· , 3	3	
	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	91	94	6	6	0	0	
2	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	79	81	16	16	2	2	
	I attend school meetings	58	60	38	39	1	1	
•	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	86	89	11	11	0	0	
•	I would like to work in the school	74:	76	21	22	2	2	
•	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	86	89	2	2	9	9	
		1 1	YES		NO	NO F	ESPON	
	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	N	%	N	%	N	%	



SCHOOL EDC Model N=117

GRADE

K-3

		AG	REE	DISA	GREE	NO RESPONSE		
		$\underline{\mathbf{N}}$	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	
1.	My child enjoys school	108	92	9	8	0	0	
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	108	92	9	. 8	Ō	0	
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	÷111	95	2	2	4	3	
	I like the way my child is being taught:	* ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±						
4.	Reading	98	84	11	9	8	7	
5.	Arithmetic	101	86	10	9	6	5	
6.	Science	53	45	7	6	-57	49	
7.	Social Studies	52	44	7	6	58	50	
8.	Writing Skills	98	84	7	6	12	10	
9.	Speaking Skills	105	90	5	4	-7	6	
0.	How to be creative	97	83	14	12	6	5	
1.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	117	100	0	0	0	o	
2.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	98	84	15	13	4	3	
3.	I attend school meetings	64	55	32	27	21	18	
4.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	108	92	5	4	4	3	
5.	I would like to work in the school	71	61	36	31	10	9	
5.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	103	88	8	7	6	- 5	
, ,			YES		NO	NO	RESPONS	



SCHOOL Florida Parent Model N=38

GRADE K-3

	ARTON CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	·					
		AGR	EE	DISAG	REE	NO RE	SPONSE
		<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u> %</u>	N	<u>%</u>
l.	My child enjoys school	34	89	3	8	1	3
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	29	76	8	21	1	3
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	34	89	3	8	1	I i 3
	I like the way my child is being taught:						
. .	Reading	34	89	3	8	1	3
5.	Arithmetic	34	89	4	11	0	0
5.	Science	20	53	8	21	10	26
7.	Social Studies	17 .	45	11	29	10	26
3.	Writing Skills	35	92	3	. 8	0	0
 } •	Speaking Skills	35	92	3	8	0	0
).	How to be creative	37	97	1	3	0	0 -
•	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	38	100	0	0	0	0
	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	22	58	16	42	0	0
3.	I attend school meetings	29	76	9	24	0	0
	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	36	95	2	5	0_	0
	I would like to work in the school	27	71	8	21	3	8
	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	36	95	2	5	0	0
			YES		NO	NO	RESPONS
	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	N	%	N	%	N	%



SCHOOL Parent Implemented Model N=27

GRADE K-3

child enjoys school	<u>N</u> 26	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	**	
	26			~	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
child is learning to think for himself		96	1	4	o	0
The state of the s	17	63	10	37	0	0
child is learning to get along well with children his own age	26	96	4 .	4	0	0
like the way my child is being taught:	7		-			
ading	25	93	1	4	1	4
ithmetic	23	85	3	11	. 1	4
ience	20	74	3	11	4	15
cial Studies	21	78	2	7	4	15
iting Skills	27	100	0	0	0	0
eaking Skills	26	96	1	4	0	0
w to be creative	24	89	3	11	0	0
am developing a greater interest in my child's education	27	100	0	0	0	0
am developing a greater interest in my own education	15	56	11	41	. 1	4
attend school meetings	21	78	6	22	0	0
feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	26	96	1	4	0	0.
would like to work in the school	26	96	1	4	0	0
feel my opinions are respected in the school	25	93	2	7	0	0
	,	YES		NO.	<u> </u>	RESPONSE
e Follow Through Program should be continued.	N	<u>%</u>	6.9 - 72 - 73	Set words	<u> N</u>	<u>%</u>
	ithmetic ience cial Studies iting Skills eaking Skills w to be creative am developing a greater interest in my child's education am developing a greater interest in my own education. attend school meetings. feel comfortable speaking to school personnel would like to work in the school. feel my opinions are respected in the school	ithmetic	ithmetic 23 85 ience 20 74 cial Studies 21 78 iting Skills 27 100 eaking Skills 26 96 w to be creative 24 89 am developing a greater interest in my child's education 27 100 am developing a greater interest in my own education 15 56 attend school meetings 21 78 feel comfortable speaking to school personnel 26 96 would like to work in the school 26 96 feel my opinions are respected in the school 25 93 e Follow Through Program should be continued N N %	ithmetic 23 85 3 ience 20 74 3 cial Studies 21 78 2 iting Skills 27 100 0 eaking Skills 26 96 1 w to be creative 24 89 3 am developing a greater interest in my child's education 27 100 0 am developing a greater interest in my own education 15 56 11 attend school meetings 21 78 6 feel comfortable speaking to school personnel 26 96 1 would like to work in the school 26 96 1 feel my opinions are respected in the school 25 93 2 e Follow Through Program should be continued N Z X	ithmetic	ithmetic



SCHOOL_ Philadelphia Process Model N=93

GRADE K-3

Please let us know how you feel about the Follow Through Program by responding to the statements below. Simply circle the "1" on each line if you agree with the statement or a "2" if you disagree.

		AGI	REE	DISA	REE	NO RESPONSI	
		N	<u>%</u>	N	<u> %</u>	N	<u>Z</u>
1.	My child enjoys school	87	94	6	6	0	0
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	87	94	6	6	0	O __
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	89	96	1	1	3	3
	I like the way my child is being taught:						
4.	Reading	84	90	8	9	1	1
5.	Arithmetic	85	91	8	9	0	0
6	Science	58	62	5	5	30	32
7.	Social Studies	58	62	6	6	29	31
8.	Writing Skills	89	96	2	2	2	2
9.	Speaking Skills	89	96	4	4	0 :	0
0.	How to be creative	86	92	7	8	0	0
1.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	92	99	1	1	0	ο
2.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	91	98	2	2	0	0
3.	I attend school meetings	59	63	24	26	10	11
4	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	89	96	2	2	2	2
5.	I would like to work in the school	65	70	22	24	6	6
6.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	88	95	3	3	2	2
			YES		NO	NO I	RESPON
7.	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	N 9 <u>7</u>	<u>%</u> 9		<u>%</u>	N _N	$\frac{2}{1}$

95

SCHOOL Total Program N=133

GRADE 4

		AGRE	E	DISAG	REE	NO RESPONSE		
		N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	
1.	My child enjoys school	116	87	11	8	6	5	
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	119	89	12	9	2	2	
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	114	86	18	14	1	1	
	I like the way my child is being taught:			ı				
4.	Reading	112	84	20	15	1	1	
5.	Arithmetic	116	87	16	12	1	1	
6.	Science	111	83	13	10	9	7	
7.	Social Studies	113	85	13	10	7	5	
8.	Writing Skills	121	91	10	8	2	2	
9.	Speaking Skills	115	86	14	11	4	_* 3	
0.	How to be creative	123	92	7	5	3	.2	
1.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	129	97	4	3	0	0	
2.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	110	83	20	15	3	2	
3.	I attend school meetings	86	65	38	29	9	7	
4.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	121	91	10	8	2	2	
5.	I would like to work in the school	96	72	34	26	3	2	
6.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	117	88	11	8	5	4	
			YES	1.3	NO			

SCHOOL Bank Street Model N=22

GRADE

		AGR	EE	DISA	GREE	NO RE	SPONSI
		N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>
1.	My child enjoys school	20	91	2	9	0	0
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	20	91	2	9	0	0
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	18	82	4	18	0	0
	I like the way my child is being taught:						
4.	Reading	18	82	4	18	0	0
5.	Arithmetic	16	73	6	27	0	0
6.	Science	19	86	3	14	o	0
7.	Social Studies	- 18	82	4	18	. 0	0
8.	Writing Skills	18	82	4	18	0	0
9.	Speaking Skills	18	82	4	18	0	0
10.	How to be creative	21	95	1	5	0	0
11.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	20	91	2	9.	0	0
12.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	15	68	6	27	1	5 .
13.	I attend school meetings	15	68	7	32	0	0
14.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	20	91	2	9	0	0
15.	I would like to work in the school	15	68	6	27	1	5
16.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	19	86	2	9	1	5
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES		NO		
17.	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	N	%	N	2	,	



SCHOOL Behavior Analysis Model N=33

GRADE '

		AGF	EE	DISAC	GREE	NO RE	SPONSE
		$\underline{\mathbf{N}}$	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
1.	My child enjoys school	30	91	2	6	1	, 3
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	30.	91	3	9	o	0
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	26	79	7	21	0	0
" 41	I like the way my child is being taught:						
4.	Reading	28	85	5	15	0	0
5.	Arithmetic	28	85	5	15	0	0
6.	Science	28	85	4	12	1	3
7.	Social Studies	29	88	4	12	0	0
8.	Writing Skills	32	97	1	3	0	0
9.	Speaking Skills	31	94	2	6	o	0
10.	How to be creative	30	91	2	6	1	3
11.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	33	100	0	0	o	0
12.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	25	76	ę,	24	0	O
13.	I attend school meetings	22	67	11	33	0	O
14.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	31	94	2	: 6	0	0
15.	I would like to work in the school	28	85	5	15	0	0
16.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	32	97	1	3	0	O
			YES		NO		
17.	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	<u>N</u>			2	<u>{</u>	



GRADE

Please let us know how you feel about the Follow Through Program by responding to the statements below. Simply circle the "1" on each line if you agree with the statement or a "2" if you disagree.

				DISAGREE NO RESP					
	and the second s	AGE	all and the	-					
	•	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	7		
i.	My child enjoys school	17	85	. 3	15	0	0		
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	18	90	1	5	1	5		
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	16	80	4	20	0	0		
	I like the way my child is being taught:		:						
4.	Reading	19	95	1	5	0	0		
5.	Arithmetic	19	95	1 -	5	0	0		
6.	Science	17	85	0	0	3	15		
7.	Social Studies	16	80	2	10	2	10		
8.	Writing Skills	19	95	1	5	0	0		
9,	Speaking Skills	19	95	1	5	0	0		
10.	How to be creative	20	100	0	0	0	0:		
11.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	18	90	2	10	0	0		
12.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	17	85	3	15	0	0		
13.	I attend school meetings	12	60	8	40	0	0		
14.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	17	85	2	10	1	5		
15.	I would like to work in the school	11	55	9	45	0	0		
16.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	15	75	2	10	. 3	15		
٠.			YES		NO				
17.	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	N	1	<u>N</u>	%				

SCHOOL EDC Model N=27

GRADE 4

		AGI	ייי	DIGA	per	NO R	repox
		N Wat	<u>166</u> %	N	9	N N	7/2
l,	My child enjoys school	21	78	1	4	5	19
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	26	96	0	0	1	4
3,	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	26	96	1	4	0	0
-50	I like the way my child is being taught:						
4,	Reading	20	74	6	22	1	L
5.	Arithmetic	24	29	2	7,	1,	. 4
6.	Science	21	78	Ĵ	11	. 3	11
7.	Social Studies ,	-22	81	2	7.	3	13
8.	Writing Skills	23	85	2	7	2	7
9.	Speaking Skills	21	78	3	11	3	11
0,	How to be creative	21	.78	4	15	2	1
1.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	27	100	0	0	0	0
2.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	26	- 96	0.	0	1	. 4
3.	I attend school meetings.	16	59	7	26	4	15
4.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	25	93	1.	4	1.	4
5.	I would like to work in the school	1.8	67	8	30	1	4
6.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	22	81	4	15	1	4
-			YES	N	<u> </u>		

SCHOOL Florida Parent Model N=8

GRADE 4

	•	AG	REE	DISAG	REE	NO R	ESPONS
	en e	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	N	<u> </u>
1.	My child enjoys school	7	88	1	13	0	0
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	6	7′5	2	25	0	0
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	7	88	1	13	0	0
	I like the way my child is being taught:						
4.	Reading	6	75	2	25	0	0
5.	Arithmetic	7	88	· 1	13	0	0
6.	Science	8	100	0	0	0	0_
7.	Social Studies	7	88	0	0	1	13
8.	Writing Skills	6	75	2	25	0	0
9.	Speaking Skills	5	63	2	25	: 1	13
0.	How to be creative	8	100	0	0	0	0
1.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	8	100	0	0	0	0
2.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	6	75	2	25	• • 0	0
3.	I attend school meetings	6	75	- 1 ·	13	1	10
4.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	7	88	1	13	0	0
5.	I would like to work in the school	6	75	1	13	1	13
6.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	8	100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0	0
7.	The Follow Through Program should be continued.		YES	<u>%</u> <u>N</u>	NO	<u> </u>	

SCHOOL Parent Implemented Model N=5

	4
GRADE	•
O14.20	

	AGRI	EE .	DISAG	REE	NO RES	PONSI
	$\overline{\overline{n}}$	<u>%</u>	. <u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
1. My child enjoys school	5	100	0	0	0	0
2. My child is learning to think for himself	4	80	1	20	0	0
3. My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	5	100	0	0	0	0
I like the way my child is being taught:						
4. Reading	4	80	1	20	0 -	0
5. Arithmetic	5 -	100	0	0	. 0	0
6. Science	3	60	2	40	. 0	0
7. Social Studies	4	80	1	20	0	0
8. Writing Skills	5	100	O	0	0	0
9. Speaking Skills	3	60	2	40	0	0
O. How to be creative	5	100	0	0	0	0
1. I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	5	100) 0	0	0	O
2. I am developing a greater interest in my own education	3	60	1	20	1	20
13. I attend school meetings	4	80	. 1	20	0 .	0
14. I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	5	100	0	C	0	0
15. I would like to work in the school	3	60	2	40	0	0
16. I feel my opinions are respected in the school	5	100	0	C), ., 0	0
		YES		NO		
17. The Follow Through Program should be continued.	1	<u>N</u> 5 10	<u>%</u> 0	<u>й</u>	% 0	



SCHOOL Philadelphia Process Model N=18

GRADE 4

		AGREE		DISAG	שבעי	NO RESPONS			
		N N	<u>z</u>	N N	<u>%</u>	<u>ио к</u>	Z Z		
1.	My child enjoys school	16	89	2	11	0	0		
2.	My child is learning to think for himself	15	83	3	17	0	0		
3.	My child is learning to get along well with children his own age	16	89	1	6	1	6		
	I like the way my child is being taught:			-					
4.	Reading	17	94	1	6	0	0		
5.	Arithmetic	17	94	1	6	0	0		
6.	Science	15	83	1	6	2	11		
7.	Social Studies	17	94	0	0	1	6		
8.	Writing Skills	18	100	0	0	0	0		
9.	Speaking Skills	18	100	. 0	0	0	0		
0.	How to be creative	18	100	0	0	0	0		
1.	I am developing a greater interest in my child's education	18	100	0	0	0	0		
2.	I am developing a greater interest in my own education	18	100	0	0	0	0		
3.	I attend school meetings	11	61	- 3	17	4	22		
4.	I feel comfortable speaking to school personnel	16	89	2	11	0	0		
5.	I would like to work in the school	15	83	3	17	0	0		
6.	I feel my opinions are respected in the school	16	89	2	11	0	0		
****			YES		NO	<u> </u>			
17.	The Follow Through Program should be continued.	<u>N</u>	94			<u>%</u>			